

PRO



TEM

The Student Weekly of York University

Volume II, Number 11

November 15, 1962

COUNCIL NEWS

Tony to Take Oath

At the Student Council Meeting Tuesday evening, Mr. Tony Martin reported on the U. of T. SAC meeting which he attended and he asked Council to adopt his report, thus giving him a mandate to swear an oath of allegiance to the U. of T. SAC, as is required of all their members. After much discussion of the degree to which this oath would bind Mr. Martin, the Council decided to adopt the report and allow him to swear the oath. Also embodied in his report were a number of suggestions concerning the format of SAC meetings which Mr. Martin felt would benefit the York Student Council if it were instituted here. However, nothing concrete was done concerning these ideas.

Student Assembly

After Mr. Martin's report, Mr. Caldwell moved that there be an assembly of students in the last week of the term to discuss the matter of the Constitution which will be presented December 17th and also to discuss gowns, if the Council so desires. Mr. Caldwell felt that such an assembly would make the students more aware of matters of interest and would give them a chance to air their views for consideration over the holidays. The general feeling was that it was a good thing for the Student Council to come into contact with the Student Body and the motion was carried.

House Committee Report

Mr. Hollinger, speaking for the House Committee, reported on Christmas cards, telephones and the common room. It was decided to order two hundred dozen Christmas cards, in the same design as last year and to sell them through the bookroom. It was also decided to write a strong letter to Mr. Armour requesting

the use of a telephone for Council, the Pro-Tem, and the MC², and another letter to Mr. Hatfield requesting more waste-baskets and ashtrays to encourage students to improve the appearance of the Common Room.

York Jackets

Mr. Clayton Ruby approached council Tuesday evening as a representative of Bell-Air Industries requesting that they be allowed to be the sole and official makers and suppliers of York Jackets for the next three years. The jackets would be available in red with a white crest or white with a red crest and the crest would be guaranteed to stay on. The motion was tabled after discussion and it was agreed that Mr. Bryden would undertake further investigation of the Council's official position regarding York jackets.

Agenda to be Posted

It was decided that henceforth the Student Council agenda will be posted three school days before the meeting. There will be no additions allowed to the Agenda but it is understood that the last item on the Agenda will automatically be New Business.

Elections Act

David Beasley's report dealt with proposed amendments to the York Elections Act. It is Mr. Beasley's desire that this Act be amended before next Student Council elections.

Although no official action was taken, Council members carefully reviewed Mr. Beasley's proposals. These proposals, the result of much work on the part of Mr. Beasley will be discussed further at the next Council meeting.

WINE AND PHILOSOPHY

The second and third year philosophy concentration students have formed a philosophy club with Ian Sone as President and John Wright as wine-provider-treasurer. The club intends to meet once every two weeks at the home of one of the members with a short paper, ferocious discussion, enthusiastic involvement and red wine. (Those with beards particularly welcome)

Excuse the facetious introduction, it is a very serious venture. For philosophy students, especially those in third year who have begun to realize that they don't know anything, it is an opportunity to delve into areas of philosophy not presented in their courses. The club is not limited to philosophy students, anyone can join, provided he is prepared to do some preliminary reading on the topics of discussion. We hope that first year students will take advantage of the club and not feel that their philosophical background is too limited. It will be particularly valuable for those who are thinking of concentrating in philosophy next year.

The first meeting is taking place next Wednesday evening at the home of John Wright. The topic is Camus, in particular 'L'Etranger' (We may progress to existentialism in general) Every one interested in joining please speak to Ian or John.

A FOWLE REVIEW

Dr. C. D. Fowle, York Resident Zoologist, and Acting Head of the Zoology Dept. will appear next Sunday on the radio program, "Critically Speaking". Dr. Fowle will review the book "Silent Spring" by Rachel Carson.

The program, a regular feature of Station CBL, will be heard at 4:00 p.m.

DAVEY FULTON SPEAKS TO YORK.

On Monday night the Honourable Davey Fulton spoke to a roaring crowd of 30 York students (which is after all 10% of the student population) on the topic "The Conservative Party and Its Challenge to Canadians". After 10 minutes of preamble and one dryly humorous anecdote about a tom cat (in which he failed to call a spade a spade), Mr. Fulton settled down to the invigorating business of original platitudes. In a stroke of genius, for example, he stated that "The Conservative Party is the Party that is both conservative and dynamic" and that "a nation makes progress when faced with both a challenge and leadership". While admitting that PC's were not perfect (as if that wasn't obvious) he did maintain that they were "the party of real reform" and that they did not advocate "change for the sake of change" (and thus sounded like a Volkswagen ad).

In the heat of his speech Mr. Fulton felt that after looking back over Canada's political history, he could say two things. First, "it is demonstrable ... that .. there runs the thread of a unifying principle" (i.e. that of national unity) throughout PC action. Secondly, PC's have shown a greater fidelity to this principle than have the Liberals. To reinforce these statements, he cited various instances beginning with Confederation. Confederation was, says Mr. Fulton, "a uniquely Conservative concept" guided by Conservative leaders who had grounded their policies in Conservative philosophy. Thenation has continued ever since because of Conservative risks and sacrifices and because of Conservative concepts and foresight.

Warming to his subject, Mr. Fulton ably showed how PC's were responsible for the building of the national railroads, for national economic development in the Canadian North as he stated that "for the balance of our development, it (the Canadian North) shall be under Canadian control". Expanding on the social reform aspects of his party, he felt that his was the body of "true social reform" but did not feel that "mere motion" was inevitably "in a forward direction". As examples, Unemployment Insurance and various penal reforms were cited to attest to this PC doctrine. The Honourable Minister touched upon a truly grave problem when he mentioned the Canadian Indian. (Treatment of Indians during past years has been so bad as to warrant one prominent person to say, "We don't condone apartheid; we just practise it.") This problem which has been a blight on the Canadian conscience is only now being alleviated and if PC's can claim some responsibility for helping the Indian, then more power to them.

It was obvious that as Mr. Fulton moved closer to the present time, he moved into rougher waters. In fact, he almost swamped himself in speaking on the last election when he stated, "The Canadian economy is sound and was sound before June 18th." And following this he said, "We accepted our responsibility on June 18th ... and ... immediately worked out a program (of drastic economic change) to carry out these responsibilities."

However, sailing away from this storm, Mr. Fulton broke new foam trying to elucidate a none-too-lucid situation of why the Liberals supported Social Credit in the House of Commons. Liberal thinking went something like this, said Mr. Fulton. "We can vote for something we don't understand--but if we did we would reject it." On this footnote Mr. Fulton launched into the actual challenge to Canadians. Perhaps I wasn't being attentive, or maybe it was lost in the verbiage, but I was unable to make out in specific terms just what his challenge was. Beyond slight,

Davey Fulton Speaks to York (cont'd.)

meaningless phrases like "use intellect for the evolution of policy to solve problems", I could not discern his challenge. Rather a pity, I think, that he had to end what was an interesting address on such a low note. Perhaps if I was unable to discern his challenge, it wasn't worth taking up. PC's have much to offer but it's too bad this was not made more clear.

Victor Hori

STOP THE PRESS NEWS

Several senior students will appear before the Executive Council of the Senate sometime this week. The matter under discussion will be the alleged use by these students of parking stickers which were not quite the same shade of blue as those issued by the administration. It is believed that a large number of the senior students are involved. How many will be called before the Senate is not known at present.

NEW PAPER AT YORK?

Last Tuesday evening Mr. Don Kantel came before Council to inform them that he and several other first year students were starting a new bi-monthly newspaper at York, with or without Council approval. Mr. Kantel in listing his reasons for this, criticized the Pro-Tem, accusing it of:

1. Having neither an editorial nor an academic emphasis (but refusing to say where the emphasis did lie).
2. Being guilty of slanderous personal attacks, irresponsibility, and slanted reporting.
3. Running a column (Comment York) of which the topic was irrelevant and the responses farcical.
4. Spending its Student Council grant irresponsibly.
5. Being a "sit-on-the-fence" newspaper and refusing to take a stand on any of the issues within the school.

Mr. Kantel went on to say that he and his staff were not rebels; that they had no personal grudge against Pro-Tem and that this new paper, although run almost exclusively by first-year students, would not be a freshman newspaper. When questioned by Council as to why the staff consisted of first-year students only, Mr. Kantel replied that he did not know any senior students well enough to ask them since the impact of his newspaper required its being produced in secret. Mr. Kantel also said that such a newspaper would "more accurately represent the true spirit of York University" but was then

forced by Council to admit that the staff had only been at the University for two months.

Mr. Kantel also accused the Pro-Tem of failing to fulfill its "obligation" to first year students, but he did not go on to specify what this "obligation" was.

Mr. Hori made a motion that the York Forum be recognized by Council as an official York Student activity, not because he himself was in favour of it, but because under the "democratic process", the Council had no right to refuse it. President Caldwell made it clear that the Council was absolutely under no obligation whatsoever to recognize this publication. Mr. Rutherford, in debate on the motion, pointed out that a publication striving to be better than one (the Pro-Tem), and falling short of another (MC²) was little more than ridiculous. He urged that the dissenters should co-operate to try to improve the quality of the Pro-Tem and the MC² which are desperately in need of help at the present time.

Mr. Taylor said that he regarded Mr. Kantel's action as "lack of responsibility on the part of first-year students" and said that York students of all years should put confidence in hard-working editorial staffs at York and co-operate with them to improve their quality.

When Mr. Corvese was mentioned as being on the staff of the Forum, he denied any connection, saying specifically "I am not connected with this deal". This, however, was after Mr. Kantel had mentioned him as part of the staff.

Finally, after much heated discussion, the motion by Mr. Hori was defeated, and the Council thus refused to recognize or give the name "York" to the Forum. However, it is understood that the paper will still appear, although unsanctioned, and so York University, with a total enrollment of little more than 300 people will now have a literary magazine, a weekly publication, a yearbook, and a newspaper which will appear once in every two weeks. Surely this must be some kind of record for a small University.

Fred Gorbett

ANNOUNCEMENTS

Religious Service

Anyone interested in taking part in a non-denominational religious service, please contact Gary Caldwell. If there are enough interested these services could be held at the university on Sunday mornings.

Yearbook Seeks Name

The editorial staff of the York Graduation Yearbook are searching for a name for their publication. To encourage student suggestions they are placing a ballot box near the students' bulletin board. If you have an idea, please submit it.

SPORTS

ATHLETIC BRIEFS by DAVE ALLEN

Field Hockey Season Ends

The York Roses finished their season with a game against Havergal College. Although they suffered a crushing defeat, the girls displayed excellent sportsmanship and a competitive determination of which the York student body can be proud. After all, in the final analysis, it is not who won the game that is important, but how you played it.

The record of 1 tie and four losses does not tell the whole story. The girls had long and hard practices, and played against some high caliber opposition. Even in the blackest moments when the going got tough, the spirit never lagged. At times it was only stamina that kept them going.

In order to retain the keen, though inexperienced edge, of skill which they had developed, the Roses played a team of York males. It was an upset victory from the start, the males adapted quickly and fired a quick goal. Greatly upset, the girls let in another. From then on, it was an even match. In the dying moments of the game, the girls capitalized on a power play and broke the shut out. The girls felt that had the game been a little longer, victory would have been theirs.

The last game of the season was played against the experienced Havergal squad. York even had a cheering squad (one lone soul from first year) York, unfortunately, was outplayed 6-0. A good time was had by all, and the team is anxious to have another try next year.

All in all, it has been a terrific season. The Roses played like champions, accepted their losses, and continually fought harder. Field Hockey has an excellent start at York. The girls are to be congratulated.

York Basketball Team Bows to Teachers

Last Friday, at 4:15 p.m., the 1962-63 edition of the Basketball Windgoes made their debut when they played the Bathurst Heights Teachers featuring such stars as Mike Uly Curtis and George Cass

It was a well played game with York taking a quick 12-4 short lived lead. By half-time they were behind 35-24. In the second half, the Windgoes weren't able to close the gap as they and the teachers traded baskets. Final score in the game: Bathurst Heights Teachers 51, York University Windgoes 42.

Leading York in scoring was rookie guard, Al Cohen with 16 points. Close behind was sophomore centre Dave Allen, looping 14 points. Rookies Pete Clute, Al Tassie, Jim Forsyth along with Roger Hyman and Gary Whiteford completed the scoring.

Centre Court Chatter

A record attendance was set at this game for a York University Basketball Game. Seven spectators were counted. In the future notices will be posted on the Bulletin Board of the time and place of games, and all interested in attending but in need of a 'lift' can contact the team manager, Bill Collins.

A game has been scheduled for this Friday at the YMHA gym at Bloor and Spadina. Consult the bulletin board for further information.

BADMINTON

The L.P.C.C. gym has been engaged by the University for the Badminton Club Mondays and Fridays from 12 to 2 and Thursday evenings from 8:30 to 10:30. Aside from playing badminton, Thursday evening enthusiasts also chew the fat, guzzle soft drinks and have been known to gather for a bite to eat after it's all over. A tournament may soon be set up. All are invited to participate.

HOCKEY

First Intermediate League Game

York B versus New College

Varsity Arena

Thursday Nov. 22 at 6:30

VOCAL SUPPORT NEEDED

VOLLEYBALL

The Second Year A team met its best competition in the final round of York's first volleyball tournament and was able to hand them an easy defeat. The Administration "relics" put a strong bid for the title by taking the first of three games. However, in this case, youth won out over experience (and old age) and the "relics" found themselves on the short end of the scores in the last two games.

Noted Artist At Work

Robert Hedrick, well-known Canadian artist, has been invited to York by the York Art Committee. He has been here twice for supper and informal discussions about his painting and art in general. Next Monday evening will be his final visit. Anyone who is interested in joining the group for supper in the dining room at 5:30 will be very welcome.

LETTERS TO THE EDITOR

Dear Sir:

Who cares about gowns on York Students? I, for one! I can see the romance in wearing gowns, but I would object to them on the backs of undergraduates. Gowns are symbolic of high academic achievement and should be worn only by those who have earned them. I view the proposed inception of gowns as a crass attempt to falsely glorify the intellectual status of the York student. Especially in York's young and malleable state, care must be taken to avoid the instituting of such dubious traditions.

Doug Harding

Dear Editor:

This item, although it seems trivial, is at the point of causing my nervous breakdown. The matter in question is the non-existence of drinking fountains in GLENDON HALL. I have tried rolling paper towels into dunce caps, but alas, when filled with water they leak and the water embarrasses me. Turning to tried and true methods, I have bent over to put my head under the tap only to dent my head on the soap dispenser. While in the process of filling the second floor bathtub to lap up what I could - I discovered that someone was taking a bath and I am now too upset to return and replace the plug. I appeal for the sake of all others who have resorted to such means unsuccessfully-

Put in some drinking fountains!!

D. Kayfetz

Dear Sirs:

I am writing in relation to your article in the last edition of Pro-Tem (Nov. 11th) Name of a Name The first thought that came to me - and perhaps it is worth considering - is to name the various houses after the last name of those students in the first graduating class of York who were the most outstanding academically and "all-round" - thereby showing our appreciation of their work and effort in laying a ground for our New University.

Thank you.

Miss P. Dallas

Dear Mr. Editor:

How are you today? I hope you are feeling fine, and, if not, I would like to cheer you up by pointing out an example of York's individualism and spirit; a spirit evidenced in you (our) very own publication, in the very first page - at the very top!

You probably realize by now that I am

referring to the "deviant R". Notice how it stands out saucily and defiantly, shouting its existence to the whole world. It is too bad that the rest of the letters (P, O, T. E. and M) and such clods.

I congratulate you, and am looking forward to more such outbreaks of spirit.

With deepest affection,

Georgia Rhodes

Ed. Note: Recently Pinkerton guards at York's main gate have detected suspicious blue parking stickers on student cars. Efforts are being made to prevent the passage of such cars. Mr. Frank Smith reports on one such encounter.

THE GALLANT MEN

Part I by Frank Smith

The pre-dawn stillness of November 19th suddenly erupted with fearsome cries as two opposing factions clashed in bitter strife. As the enemy advanced towards the gates in their armoured units, a terse command set into action a clever and meticulous plan to thwart these despicable criminals.' Several security guards, crouching in the bushes, camouflaged in their drab blue combat fatigues, received a radio message from an agent further to the south, who first spied the invaders from his treetop observation post.

Holding back until the last possible route of escape had been blocked, and they could see the whites of the enemy's white-walls, the security troops broke from their cover and stormed around the corner of their wooden shelter shouting, "Armour virumque cano" and other bellicose banalities. With clip-boards at the ready they stemmed the onrushing enemy. After the invading units ground to a halt in the face of the "Armoured Line" a fierce struggle ensued, with the security troops rushing in reinforcements from the coffee-shop.

Inevitably, the enemy was scattered, and as they beat a terrified retreat to the sanctuary on the other side of the Bayview line, the security guards mustered and withdrew back to the shrubbery from whence to plot the prevention of such further atrocities.

(con't)

THE GALLANT MEN - continued

The courage and heroic deeds of these men were acknowledged at a testimonial dinner, held at noon that same day. By 12:05 these agents of peace were back at their dutiful positions, ever vigilant and loyal.

"A Battle Lost is not the War"- Tentanda
Altera Via - "Another Way Must be Tried".

Frank Smith

THE COACH HOUSE

The close of this week will mark the beginning of the end for York's famous old Coach House. The contract was let to a Toronto demolition company (not named) some time ago. If all goes well, and official indications are that it will, work should begin before the week-end. It is expected that by Dec. 7th, the date stipulated for completion, the last remnants of this old landmark will have been removed.

On this site construction of a Central Services Building will begin almost immediately. It will cover about the same surface area as the present structure, but will have three stories. From the ground up it will include: a steam plant capable of producing 60,000 lb. of live steam per hour; a modern mezanine, and administration offices for a stationary engineer and his staff. This plant should be completed before September, 1963, and will supply heat to the entire campus, both present and future.

The equipment and organizations uprooted by this development are being relocated elsewhere on the campus. It was suggested that ping-pong tables may be found in either the portable building or perhaps in the basement of the academic building.

R. Wilkinson

Pro-Tem was given a guided tour of the new Library project this week by Mr. Alex Kirkland, Construction Superintendent for Jackson-Lewis Const. Co. According to Mr. Kirkland this is the present state of affairs.

The hole for the new York Library has been dug. The new site is such that no trees need to be removed, although, for a time, our campus will lose its quiet atmosphere.

Right now thirty-five cylindrical shafts are being dug out to a depth of twenty-two feet and are being filled with cement. These concrete caissons are necessitated by our rather loose soil. Steel beams are also being erected below the ground level as wall supports. The bulldozers and other pieces of heavy machinery

used for the construction of what now looks like a giant swimming pool, are costing from fourteen to twenty dollars an hour.

Mr. Kirkland displayed the blueprints which revealed that our library, architecturally, will be basically contemporary, but the front will have eight modified bay windows from the ground to the roof. The building will have three floors plus a "penthouse". Only two floors will be visible from the front while a view from the posterior will reveal basement windows. The word "penthouse" printed on a rooftop structure refers not to a luxury suite with knee-deep broadloom and exotic cocktails but to a structure that will house the air-conditioning equipment.

The bricklayers will start work next month and by February the shell should be complete, ornamented by a piece of metal work, rumoured to be a map of Canada, but of this Mr. Kirkland was not sure. By June both interior and exterior work should be finished.

David Alter

YORK UNIVERSITY FILM SOCIETY

Last Thursday, Y.U.F.S. present Alain Resnais' film, Last Year at Marienbad.

This film had, I think, as its basis, a conviction which was being turned into reality. A stranger, meeting a woman at a hotel apparently for the first time (in reality), was able to impose his dream or hallucination upon the situation so that this dream came true. It may be that the woman was being called by Death and in the end, after a great struggle, she succumbed. Yet, there are certain facts that point to something more than a dream. For example, the lake did freeze over the year before. Thus there is some reality to the dream. And the photograph, how can the woman not believe this?

On the other hand, there is an endless image of meanings - yet the film itself is the containing of these endless possibilities. Not a comment on reality but Something beyond reality.

It is probable that Y.U.F.S. will be showing Kameradschaft sometime later in December. There is a group forming in the Society that will look into the technique of filming - not with a view to making a film as such but with a view to practising and perfecting these techniques.

G. Fraser Reid

EDITOR'S NOTE:

Pro-Ten attended the "Workshop Production" of "When We Dead Awaken" by Henrik Ibsen. It is reviewed by Steve Barker.

'When We Dead Awaken', Ibsen's last play, is not considered to be among his best or even his most popular plays. It is, however, one of Ibsen's most striking and, some have suggested, personal play. The recent presentation by Workshop Productions does justice to both these aspects. The drama is presented simply and unaffectedly. This is probably due to the care with which the play is analysed by the acting group even before rehearsals begin.

In order to understand the production, it is important to realise that Workshop Productions, directed by George Luscombe, bases its technique on the works of Stanislavsky (this style of acting should not be confused with Lee Strasbourg's so-called 'method' school).

The drama revolves around the character of Professor Rubek (David Renton) an ageing sculptor now married to a younger and altogether coarser female. He meets Irene (Anna Paloheim), a former model of his, whom he has not seen for many years. She is insane and looked after by a nun. She is obsessed by Death and insists that she has been dead ever since she last saw Rubek, because he incorporated her soul into his last masterpiece. During the action of the play, Irene regains her sanity and begins to live. The acting of this part was performed admirably by Anna Paloheim who managed to portray the quiet madness which is so hard to do without lapsing idiocy. She gave a strange feeling of disquiet to the unfortunately sparse audience and the transformation to sanity was felt strongly. Douglas Livingston, as the huntsman who captures Rubek's legal wife, gave what must be described as a lusty performance. David Renton as the Professor seemed to be rather out of place on the impressionistic, theatre-in-the-round type of set.

Although he did his job and portrayed the rather pathetic professor, he was concerned perhaps too much with being an old professor than being Rubek. He exhibited a rather more standardised type of acting than the other characters who all, even the waiters, gave the impression that they had 'discovered' and knew both the limitations and expectations of their parts. For any student of literature or drama, this sound performance should not be missed.

ATTENTION COMMUTERS

The Lawrence Avenue Bus will resume its former route as soon as the white lines are painted on Bayview Avenue--probably by Friday, November 23rd.

ANNOUNCEMENT

What's Behind the Cuba Crisis?

The York NDP Club is sponsoring a speaker for the Fair Play for Cuba Committee on Monday. Mr. Vernon Olson, the national chairman of that committee has visited Cuba twice. You are urged to hear Mr. Olson, Monday 4 p.m., Room 204.

COMMENT YORK

This week: Seymour Miftkens (transfer student from Leamington Agricultural College and Animal Husbandry major) speaks from the shoulder on local and world events.

"The latest clashes with the Chinese have at least shown the superior strength, equipment and tactical skill of Indian army divisions--on the Pakistan border. One correspondent has warned that Mao Tse-tung will soon move in naval support for his armies. Best bet is that he will send his ships through the Himmilayas. Using human waves of course. But cause for worry has been mitigated. For Prime Minister Nehru of India is really brandishing the big stick now. If the Chinese overrun the Plain of Assam, he has threatened to close down their New Dehli embassy. And if that doesn't work, he will probably fire the janitor.

Ghana, however, is attempting to bring about U.N. intervention. Most likely she will propose economic sanctions on Communist China. If China doesn't withdraw, the uncommitted nations will stop accepting foreign aid from her. (N.P.) Meanwhile the U.S. is having trouble justifying its position in the conflict. The U.S. is supplying arms and aid to fight a government it doesn't admit exists. And Southern Democrats are urging that these arms be used to fight more dangerous threats to national welfare--like Martin Luther King.

But back at home things were more quiet. A request to install a ski tow at York was turned down. It was felt that the stairs were an adequate means for reaching the third floor. The Argonaut Football Club announced that Lou Agase will be guest speaker at its next dinner, but denied his request to trade five members of the kitchen staff. And Gary Caldwell swallowed a spoon. Murray Soupcoff and Bill Collins

A N O T H E R P R O - T E M F I R S T

Pro-Tem staff unearthed the following letter yesterday.
We are reproducing it in its entirety.

THE THEORY AND PRACTISE OF TYRANNY
A Study of the Pro-Tem

There is a time for silence. This is not one of them.

The purpose of this letter is to bring to the attention of the University certain facts concerning the weekly publication Pro-Tem. It is no longer possible for me to stand by and watch with objectivity the activities of this paper during the last month. The facts listed here concern not only myself, but every student, faculty member, and administrative official in the university. In the final analysis all of us are responsible.

It is probably obvious to most readers of Pro-Tem, the Student Weekly of York University, that a series of subtle and not so subtle attacks has been made against both myself and Phil Spencer. The source of these attacks is the person who has been and still is the Editor-in-Chief of this publication, Harold Levy. Harold has allowed a personal feud to colour the policy of the paper. This feud developed partly from a financial and format difference between us. It culminated with my letter of resignation which Harold published in the Nov. 1st issue. His remark at the end of the letter is now well known. It is in the profound and intelligent vein which is characteristic of the remarks made by this individual--"We are sorry that your pro-temporary career has ended. You sir-are impertinent, Sincerely, The Editor." This was my reward, from a friend, for my service to the Pro-Tem.

In the letter I expressed views which I felt were developing in the paper. These fears have been realized and others besides. Harold said that I was impertinent? Is this because long articles and numerous pages per issue is complete coverage? Is going beyond the budget, spending money given by Student Council, while other clubs are doing their best to keep within their budgets, correct? Or was my letter impertinent because of the insinuation that Pro-Tem may develop into a personal publication with the Editor-in-Chief dictating not what the readers want to read, but what he thinks they should read. Or was it impertinent because of the line, "The public is not the servant but the reason for a paper's existence." Does Harold feel that the reading public is the servant of Pro-Tem? The remark that was made is indicative of the manner in which he has used the paper.

There have been opinions expressed and it is also one of mine that the election coverage was inadequate. This view can be reinforced by a glance at two issues of Pro-Tem. In the pre-election issue (which was the day before the elections), Oct. 25, a column was donated to all thirteen candidates. This was located on the seventh page following five pages on the Cuban situation of which half a column was taken up by a single question mark. Articles which also appeared before it were concerned with: The Canadian Forum, the removal of a tree on campus, and one captioned, York Does Bloody Well. Did anyone find the election results in the Nov. 1st. issue? They were located on the fifth page at the bottom left hand corner. This twelve line article was underneath two others entitled, Atkinson Breakdown and Band-aids Blood and Bracken. There was also in this issue a most important article concerning an Interview With the Limelights which covered a full page and one-half. But Pro-Tem asserts that there was not enough space in that issue to cover the election properly and also that the news was not topical. Surely the news was topical if not for the Nov. 1st. issue then for the Oct. 25th. issue the day before the election. It would seem to me that elections at York would be excellent material for a Student Weekly of York University. Of course the fact that my name would have had to be mentioned in any election coverage is not the reason why it was so ignobly treated the way it was.

Following Council's decision which for the second time affirmed the motion for Phil and myself to produce the Year Book, Harold brought out an extra of Pro-Tem. In the editorial (ii) he stated that Pro-Tem (harold) regretted the decision. But it is a Student Weekly of York University and, therefore, he had to admit that, "However, we (harold) cannot ignore the status quo." (which of course was nice) Had Harold been sincere in his stand I would not be mentioning it now. But it seemed like another attempt both to attack Phil and myself and also to criticize Council. Not for the sake of voicing the opinion of the student body but just for the sake of criticism. Was Harold thinking of the good of the University or was it simply

the satisfaction of a whim?

An incident occurred at the Council meeting (Nov. 5th) which I think went unnoticed by everyone present. Mr. Doug Rutherford quoted from my letter of resignation (published on Nov. 1st.) the line stating that I had other duties to attend to. He stated that my letter had been received by the Editor-in-Chief on Monday morning, Oct. 29th, at nine o'clock. This was, he stated, a full ten hours before the Council meeting which was to consider the year book motion. Doug had misunderstood the letter in his attempt to show that I was anticipating an affirmation from Council. What astonished me was his accurate knowledge of the date and time that my letter was received by Harold. It seemed obvious to me that this information came from one person. The information was more than likely voluntarily given in an attempt to discredit a plan which had the support of a majority of the student body and the Student Council. It was not that the alternate plan was more acceptable, but simply a demonstration of personal prejudice.

Last week the attack was more direct and personal. The editors took advantage of an article written by George Rust d'Eye in which, allowing his emotion to becloud his reason, he wrote a biting statement of my thoughts concerning the Pro-Tem. The usual declaration in the masthead i.e. "The opinions expressed in this paper are those of the editors ..." was conveniently absent in the issue. George was to be thrown to the wolves in case of trouble. I complained to the two sub-editors, Allan Millward and Doug Hird, for the attempt at slander and for using information which was confidential between George and myself. Their reply was:

1. That in refusing to speak to a Pro-Tem reporter, I deserved what I got.
2. That in the future the editors will quote information which they gain in a conversation (official or otherwise) with any individual.
3. George expressed his own opinions not the editors'. (They did admit, however, that they had seen the offending statement).

In my opinion no individual is obliged to speak to a representative of any publication including the Pro-Tem. I am not sure how the university feels about such tactics. But to me the Yellow Press and fifth column techniques are not my idea of the procedure to be followed by, The Student Weekly of York University.

There are other illustrations of such journalism which are not directly related to myself. Something could be said about the editorial policy or the lack of it. Here, there seems to be no consistent policy except in the realm of whimsy and flippancy. The editorials range from the one line Pseudo-intellectual remark, "Que savons nous?" to a full page and one half on student discipline. Whose views are really being expressed? I find it rather paradoxical to read lines such as, "the Pro-Tem urges", "this paper believes", and, "we implore" in editorials which bear the unmistakable imprint of Harold Levy. Does he really believe that he speaks for The Student Weekly of York University? The editorials have been neither "thought provoking", nor "extremely well written"; nor have the letters to the editors written by the editors themselves.

The Editorial on student discipline (Nov. 1st.) was a detailed, methodical destruction of Gary Caldwell's University Court. This editorial was followed by a column favouring the techniques in student discipline at Queen's university. Following this deluge of appeal for a Student Court there was a short article listing the BASIC POINTS of Gary's proposal. This article was not written by Gary himself and the points as stated were obviously biased in favour of the editorial. Surely the editors should have allowed Gary the opportunity to present his argument, himself, before the student body. The only knowledge which the students had of the substance of Gary's proposal was the garbled account given by the editors. The editors stated that if council approved of such a court, it would be guilty of neglecting the students' best interests. Who has given the editors of Pro-Tem the ability to determine what is best for the students? Or is it that what is good for Pro-Tem editors is good for York students?

The first two pages of the Nov. 1st. issue were a flagrant misuse of, The Student Weekly of York University. It was an attempt by a few individuals to influence the students and Student Council on an issue which had not been fully, accurately and impartially presented.

Why is it that we are subjected to such asinine titles as Council Cans Caldwell's Court, Band-aids, Blood and Bracken, Water Wings Wanted, and In Caisson You're Interested? How come there can be no articles on non-York topics such as Interview with the Limelights, and The African Culture, when clubs and athletics do not and can not get proper coverage and letters to the editors (expressing student opinion) are limited in length to 150 words? The Y.U.F.S. either has its bulletins drastically reduced or discarded altogether. This of course couldn't be because one of

THE THEORY AND PRACTISE OF TYRANNY (cont'd.)

the principles in the Society is Phil Spencer. The trip to New York was well illustrated in an article which never appeared. Instead the trip was described by cryptic remarks such as "where did Kathy go", and, "did you know we have a door into your cupboard". And on page three of the same issue (Nov. 8th.) was it necessary for us to be subjected to the rough notes of Harold's trip to a burlesque show? Although the editors (Harold) may state that there was no room in the issue to illustrate the trip to New York somehow a full page was found for an article on Atkinson College. In the same issue there are articles on the York Choir, Treasure Van, and the Humanities Club adding up to less than half a page. By the way, has anyone noticed the excellent coverage that has been given lately to Atkinson College?

Why has Pro-Tem been making such significant efforts to prove that it is not connected with Student Council? The editors make the declaration that, "the opinions expressed in this paper are those of the editors (Harold), and not necessarily those of the University Student Council." On page eight of last week's issue (Nov. 15th.) there is the statement that, "Pro-Tem is an independent newspaper and not a propaganda branch of the Student Council." There has been no insinuation that it is. And is Council given to issuing propaganda? What do the editors mean by independent newspaper while entitled The Student Weekly of York University, while emblazoned with the University Crest and motto, while financed by students, and while occupying an office lent to him by Council. The paper is independent from what or who? I find this attitude neither complimentary to Council and rather mysterious. I wonder if this attitude of the editors in any way reflects on his, oops-- their attitude to the readers?

Freedom of the press is one of our most cherished possessions. But freedom without discipline is anarchy. Editorials should not create dissention where co-operation is needed. To be the editor-in-chief of a newspaper requires a certain degree of responsibility and maturity. Sensationalism for that sake alone is not responsibility and maturity. An article such as, "Pre-marital Sex Relations on Campus", hardly creates the best image of our University. Freedom of the press does not give an individual the right to pry into every aspect of a community. But articles such as the hiring and firing of the Pinkerton guards and the kind of car the President chooses to drive are continually creeping into the Pro-Tem. A newspaper in the hands of an undisciplined individual is dangerous. Here, at York, it means that one of our basic rights is being misused.

Philip and I are bitter. Not so much because we were personally attacked, but because, The Student Weekly of York University, was used to undertake a campaign of discredit against two York students. And we have not been the only objects of attack. This is not our concern alone. This matter is the responsibility of every individual on campus. Today it is Phil and myself but to-morrow who will it be? Are we to be afraid to speak to fellow students for fear that they will inform? Are we to fear treading across the path of Pro-Tem delegates? Are we to fear making casual remarks anywhere on campus for fear that we will be quoted? It is to be pitied that the situation arose on campus that has made it necessary for me to write this letter. Perhaps I am partly responsible for my lack of vigilance in this matter? But it has not been my intention to malign anyone. What are presented here are the facts.

What is the situation? Harold is self-appointed and the two sub-editors appointed by him (in spite of the two-thirds vote claimed in last week's issue, the situation has not altered). The rest of the staff were also hand-picked. Student Council must decide if its jurisdiction extends to the appointment of the staff of The Student Weekly of York University including the position of Editor-in-Chief. Did Harold consult Council on the new editorial appointments he made several weeks ago?

It is the opinion of Phil Spencer and myself (John Corvese) that Harold Levy, the Editor-in-Chief of the Pro-Tem, The Student Weekly of York University, and the two sub-editors Allan Millward and Doug Hird have misused the offices which they hold in this publication. It is our personal opinion that they (the editors) should resign, for the following reasons:

1. They have used the students' newspaper to carry out a personal feud.
2. The policy of the paper was biased in several issues because of this.
3. Articles have been biased, incomplete, and inaccurate.
4. They have not allowed the paper to be truly representative of York University, nor has it been representative of student opinion.
5. The budget approved by Council has not been properly followed. As a result, the paper will soon be bankrupt.
6. The editors have criticised and tried to humiliate Council.

THE THEORY AND PRACTISE OF TYRANNY (cont'd.)

The facts speak for themselves. The University and Student Council must now decide what is to be done.

(signed)
.....
John Corvese

Toronto, Nov. 21, 1962

I endorse the above views.

(signed)
.....
Philip Spencer

THE OPINIONS EXPRESSED IN THIS LETTER ARE THOSE OF THE WRITER AND NOT NECESSARILY THOSE OF THE EDITORS OF THE PRO-TEM.

CONTRIBUTORS

- Frank Smith
- Rick Wilkinson
- Fred Gorbett
- Victor Hori
- Dave Alter
- G. Fraser Reid
- Steve Barker
- John Corvese
- Phil Spencer
- Anne Dalziel
- Murray Soupcoff
- Bill Collins

- Editors Doug Hird
Harold J. Levy
Allan Millward
- Sports Dave Allen
- Circulation-Chieftess Lillian Hale
- Distribution Chief George Rust-d'Eye
- Rewrite Steve Barker
- Mortician Janet McQuillin
- Typist Trudy Lipp
Delle McTiennan
- Printing..... Mary Lynne Arneson

OPINIONS EXPRESSED IN THIS PAPER ARE THOSE OF THE EDITORS, AND NOT NECESSARILY THOSE OF THE UNIVERSITY STUDENT COUNCIL.

* * * *

Tentanda Via