

PRO TEM



The Student Weekly of York University

VOLUME IV, Number 21.

Toronto Canada

March 18/65

DEMONSTRATION: A CASE OF DIMINISHING RETURNS

It is our opinion that the continual demonstration by Toronto students in front of the U.S. Consulate is, in its latter stages, an example of poor taste and undignified behaviour. For this reason, it should be discontinued.

This is not to say that demonstrating in support of Civil Rights and against injustice of any sort is not valuable. A well organized march of one or two thousand people, and the presentation of a strongly worded petition or statement can be most effective; however, it should end there. A sit-in at the Consulate can be construed as a violation of American rights of privacy -- the very principle the demonstrators seek to protest.

We are not U.S. citizens. It is our duty to show respect for others if we expect our criticisms to be given the respect we would like them to have. We feel that the prolonged vigil is an affront to the United States, and because of this suffers a loss in meaning.

The sincerity of those first marchers cannot be questioned. But we have spoken to people who tell us that sensation-seekers and "teenage boys on the make" are now predominating in the crowd. The Toronto Civil Rights protest is now an example of a good thing carried too far.

Though the protest continues, its emphasis and dignity is fast disappearing, yet it continues. The demonstration has become an end in itself. The protestors are losing the respect of others and are becoming a nuisance. Let the display of posters and marchers end. President Johnson has made an official statement of federal policy regarding integration and Selma, and Governor Wallace of Alabama has replied. The purpose of the demonstration has been achieved, and we can do no more outside of going to Alabama.

We have made our point and have a right to be proud. Further demonstration will not have any increased effect in Selma; it will only serve to nullify what we have already accomplished.

THE YORK UNIVERSITY STUDENT COURT...

On Thursday March 25, the Student Union will be called upon to elect five Student Court Judges for the 1965-66 year. No one can deny that the exact jurisdiction of the court and its powers are vague despite the fact that it has been in existence for two years. Nor can it be denied that the Student Court has not tried any case in the past twelve months or any significant case since its inception. The court, however, is not something to be ridiculed. Its existence is justified by the little work it has had to do. The fewer cases that the court has to deal with, the better the job that it is doing. There has never been a disciplinary problem of a serious nature at York University. This is due, in part, (in great part), to the existence of the Student Court. If a student commits an offense, it is a matter of concern not only to himself but also the Student Union whose representatives will be called upon to judge, and if necessary to punish him.

During the summer, it will be the responsibility of the Student Council to promulgate the statutes of the Student Court and to define its responsibilities and its jurisdiction. This having been done, in the coming term the court will assume the duties and responsibilities for which it was designed.

For these reasons, it is important that the student union be aware of the following information regarding the coming election:

The candidates are Alan Orenstein, William Stephen, Ronald McInnes, Sani Dauda, John Patterson, Gary L. Lockridge, Stephen Dymment, Douglas Francis, Lynn Blaser, Douglas Raynor.

From the slate of ten candidates five will be elected. A "meet the candidates" tea will be held on Wednesday March 24 from 2:30 till 4:00 in the Junior Common Room. Elections will be held on Thursday March 25 from 9:30 until 3:30. All students are eligible to vote upon the presentation of their ATL card.

Voting will be according to the preferential system; therefore, students are requested to mark the candidates in order of their preference, i.e. 1,2,3, etc. thru 8,9,10.

ED. COMMENT:

In view of the fact that Glendon campus is planned with a definite view towards close student-faculty relationships, we seriously urge the students to consider replacing the Student Court with a University

Court, composed of faculty members as well as students.

At present the Court is in an awkward position. Its jurisdiction and structure have been defined in such a manner that it can only be interpreted as an arm of the Student Council, and not as an independent body set up to serve the whole university. We feel that reorganizing the Court will improve its prestige, broaden its powers, and earn it the responsibility it needs to become an effective disciplinary body.

The chief argument against taking such a step is that we should not sacrifice student responsibility to a court which would be dominated by faculty, in opinion, if not in number.

This is really a hollow argument for two reasons. In the first place what responsibility the Court enjoys now is so limited as to be almost meaningless. The only responsibility it has is what the Council decides to give it. By restructuring the Court and broadening its powers student responsibility would be increased, not decreased.

Secondly, the idea that faculty would "run" the Court is not only ill-founded but dangerous. It stems from the ridiculous premise that faculty and students are two warring factions, and it can only retard the growth of student rights and responsibilities.

A more mature attitude toward faculty-student relationships is indeed necessary for the effective development of this Campus and will only prove beneficial to the students. The Council this year made tremendous strides to foster such relationships and the Committee on Student Affairs responded by allowing two students to attend their meetings. The result has been completely favourable.

We trust that this close cooperation will be encouraged in the future, and urge that the proposals outlined above be given serious consideration by the students and especially by the judges they elect to the Student Court.

--fwg--

STUDENT COURT APPLICATIONS

Applications for the following positions are open:
ATTORNEY-GENERAL OF YORK UNIVERSITY
CLERK OF THE STUDENT COURT
Students should apply in writing to any Council member. Further information from Alan Young.

AND THEN I LEARNED TO WRITE DEP'T. . .

Dear Editors:

When my brother Manley and I first heard of your plans to print this memorial issue for Charles, we were both very gay.

Since then we have changed sides.

Obviously, some of the people did not know Charles as well as we. Contributors such as Mr. Farr seem not to have understood the problem which Charles had to live with. Such a lack of knowledge and understanding as exhibited by Mr. Farr should not have been allowed within this issue.

Charles knew who his real friends were and he always rewarded them for their efforts. The last time that my brother and I got to know Charles for ourselves was during that colourful summer in Georgia which we spent with Charles and his companion, Nairobi Dinsmore. Even though Nairobi never fully understood Charles either, she at least had the decency not to comment on his problem which she knew she would never have anything to do with her.

Manley and I are most agitated about Mr. Farr and about his remarks also. We only hope that no one else will misunderstand as Mr. Farr did -- one need only guess the circumstances which occurred on that bridge in Italy prior to the accident to which Mr. Farr claims to have only been a witness.

Thanking you in advance.

Melvin Bradshaw (II)

The speaker for the negative, Mr. Dowson, presented an equally impregnable case in favour of Marxism, pointed out that Marxism was not a religion, and he further said that Liberal Democracy was nothing more than a shibboleth, a "social superstructure for capitalism". Of course everyone knows that capitalism is both inhuman and undemocratic. Moreover, said Mr. Dowson, Marxism would outlive Democracy because Marxism is a wave of the future. (Don't be misled by the apparent circularity of this argument)

During the questioning period that followed, Dean Smythe displayed a rhetorical technique previously known only to clergymen. He met nearly every query with a suggestion that the questioner refer to some higher authority (like Schumpeter, or Tocqueville). Further investigation revealed that while at Cambridge the Dean enjoyed a personal acquaintance with one such authority, Michael Polanyi, whose Beyond Nihilism he had read in some forty minutes, but which he could not summarize in two or three.

Mr. Dowson's vehemence in defending his position would wrongly be construed as fanaticism. He shows the admirable talent of having committed to memory some of the most penetrating clichés this reporter has ever heard.

COMMENT: The debate was emotional, disorganized and superficial. Despite the occasional spark of humour, those students who took the valuable time to attend, could have learned a great deal more about the philosophic principles underlying liberalism and communism by sitting in on ten minutes of a lecture in modern political theory.

--db--

Y.S.F. DEBATE: SMYTHE vs. DOWSON. . .

Yesterday afternoon, the future of the world was made subject to closely argued, intelligent and profound debate as the York Socialist Forum presented a debate on the topic "Resolved: Liberal-Democracy will outlive Marxism-Leninism". Dean D. McCormack Smythe was every bit as convincing as Elmer Gantry in his condemnation of the religious elements of Marxism. He quickly disposed of this 'religion' and proceeded to expound his gospel. Liberal Democracy, he predicted, would outlive Marxism because of the value it places on the individual (individuals like E. P. Taylor have a very high 'value' indeed!) Creative genius, he continued, should not be 'managed' as it is in Russia, but 'nurtured'. The Dean finished his speech with a deceivingly simple analysis of the essence of a Liberal Democratic State: The people have the right to choose and criticize their governors, and there is no persecution.

A MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT. . .

It seems to be the thing to do now-a-days that the newly elected executive make a pledge to his constituents of one hundred or sixty days of action. It may seem somewhat of an inconsistency after the myriad of promises, programs and reforms outlined in election speeches by myself and my fellow council members, but as the new president of the student council my first pledge is that of sixty days of inaction. There is work that must be done in the next two months (e.g. the selection of editors and election of Student Court judges) and this much we will undertake. However I feel with the examinations so close at hand, it is only fair to the members of Council and to the Student Union that none of the major tasks that face Council be undertaken until after the examinations when we

(cont'd)

MESSAGE FROM THE PRESIDENT (cont'd)...

will be able to devote the required amount of time to do these matters justice.

It is my hope that the Student Council will be able to pool their ideas and form a program, a synthesis of common goals, which will enable us to work together towards a desired end. Among the many matters which must be discussed are student-faculty relations, the constitution, the elections act, the statutes of the Student Court, policies regarding club and publications and the expansion of the Student Government.

The Student Council is composed of those students who are concerned with student government and who have ideas as to how it should function and in what direction it should move. In view of this, the possibility is always present that the Council might lose contact with the Student Union. The Student Council is the representative and governing body of the Student Union. We are working on your behalf and we must not lose sight of this. The 1965-66 Council will endeavor not to lose sight of this but responsibility also lies within the Student Union. You are provided with the means to watch your Council work and to let it know what you want. Make use of this opportunity.

There is a great deal of work to be done in the next twelve months and it cannot be done by just 13 people. The 1965-66 Council will need your support and your help. I feel confident that within the Union there are those individuals with whom, if they will step forward, Council will be able to accomplish all that must be done and all that we hope to do. We are fortunate to be attending a small college with a multitude of opportunities for Student participation. It is my hope that York students will capitalize on these opportunities. It is most important now while the university is young. At this stage of our growth it is almost indispensable.

-- Alan Young

THE SIT-IN AND ITS UNINTELLIGENCE...

If the rain and snow storm of Wednesday does not force the students from the sidewalk in front of the American Consulate, Toronto's first sit-in will reach its eighth day. If the weather does not end the protest, then SNCC should. And for several reasons.

First, the protest originally started with an ultimatum. The March 10 VARSITY quotes (it is presumed) SNCC as intending to remain in the Consulate until "satisfactory steps are taken by the American federal government to enforce the constitutional rights of the Negro". The point of this protest should not

be to present an ultimatum but to make known, in dramatic fashion, the feelings of Toronto students. It is ridiculous to think that a handful of students could force the U.S. Federal Government to tear down 200 years of tradition and overrule states' rights. The purpose of the protest must be to inform the U.S. government that the U.S. Civil Rights situation weighs upon the moral conscience of Canadians, and to show the U.S. Negro that Canadian students support their stand. Ultimatum is both ludicrous and detrimental to what the protest must accomplish.

Secondly, the protest has been unorganized. Up till now, the sit-in has survived because of its emotional attraction. It was not until Thursday night that S.C.M. started to organize a food supply; until then the protest was saved by \$400.00 worth of spontaneous and anonymous donations. Emotional sincerity has compensated for the lack of previous planning.

Thirdly, the sit-in has been going on for ⁵⁰many days now that it is beginning to outlive both sincerity and idealism. The protest is now attracting sight-seers and publicity-seeking adolescents. The sit-in provides them yet another opportunity besides the hockey game to wave at the television cameras.

The protest must eventually die because "though the spirit is willing, the flesh is weak". In fact after a while the spirit also dies, and as people drop out of the sit-in, the meaning of the protest will be dissipated. Since the protest is not an ultimatum, nor a test of endurance, it is not necessary for the protest to continue. An initial announcement by SNCC, that the sit-in was to last 7 days, would have prevented the situation which now faces them: how to call off the protest without losing face, before the sit-in dissipates by itself. Again lack of planning has proved detrimental to the sit-in.

We must admire the idealism and the sincerity of the people who started the protest. Although the spirit was bad the first night, the spirit and the tone which characterized the second night was, according to one participant, "amazing and overwhelming". However, although the sincerity and idealism of the sit-in is to be very much admired, the lack of intelligence which has marked some aspects of the protest must be derogated.

--vh--

Edited by: David Victor John Bell (W.W.)
Fred Gorbet
Victor Hori
Al Offstein

Special thanks to Al Young, Ron Bell, Ron Cuthbert (sports editor, for something or other) and especially Marian Fry, for patiently waiting, and waiting, etc.

THE POET'S CORNER...

God! God! God!
 A lost being cries out at night
 In a city full of domesticated souls
 Out to pasture like broken-spirited race horses.
 A lost being cries out at night
 To a God whose very existence and identity
 he denies - and doubts - and curses.
 A child of the Protestant-Bourgeois Ethic,
 A child tired of being a Boy Scout.
 A soul that harbours a desire
 To trip a little old lady -
 Not help her across the street.
 A rooted plant trying to pull free
 From the flowerpot of Don Mills.
 The new god of this maladjusted mess
 - is truth, or reality, or security,
 or something.
 This is what is searched after.
 The supplicator of God has lost himself
 Somewhere between then and now.
 Conformist or non-conformist that is the question.
 How to reconcile the amoral soul of public life
 And the ethics and beliefs of his hotelkeepers.
 The constant clash between the ideologies of
 family and of peers.
 The clash caused by renouncing mostly everything
 and believing mostly nothing.
 Forced to make a choice which he can't -- and
 won't -- and doesn't make.
 Living a dual existence -- lying falsely in one
 of them.
 The question is -- In which one is the big lie?
 Is the party going morality really his,
 The drinking, smoking, cursing, renouncing,
 Conformist rebel really him?
 Or is the quiet nice-guy, the courteous
 Dish dryer, the friendly errand runner,
 The real him?
 That is the question.
 A question that an aimless entity asks itself
 Every thinking moment.
 When will he ever find the answer?
 When will the apocalypse occur?
 God! God! God! only knows.

--Gregg Connolly.

* * * * *

Lunch

His grey suit immaculate but for elbow wrinkles;
 a face oily red - small chunks of dead white skin
 flattened to his cheeks (razor burn).
 Blue stripped with gold tie - grey templed head.
 A gold ring on left hand - a mouth so weak it
 kept creeping open to betray a tongue which
 would flick to the corners - moistening cracks.
 With companions four and all Men - he was
 showing pictures of last vacation, with that
 tongue flicking and eyes closing he swung his
 head back (poor animal) leaned forward and said
 "This is the chick I balled last summer."
 He has children.
 There are too many of him.

--Naylor.

SOME CRITICISMS OF THE ELECTION CAMPAIGN

Elections for positions within the Student Union received the highest percentage of voters in any other student election. However, there is still room for improvement. Criticisms are levelled against the Council, the candidates for second year rep and candidates for Cultural Affairs.

The Student Council executive, at a special meeting gave all candidates permission to put up as many posters as desired with only two minor restrictions. A wide open campaign is in some ways desirable but there are drawbacks. First, some candidates have neither the time nor the money to match the campaign that an opposing candidate may launch; others may find it distasteful to launch a loud, garish campaign just to keep their names before the voting populace.

Secondly a lack of poster regulations encourages a large number of badly designed posters. In addition to badly designed posters that showed little imagination and less care, there were a few in very poor taste.

The Council might do well to reconsider its poster regulations.

Most candidates for second year representative seemed over-excited about their self-importance. Their ignorance about Student Council was matched only by their supreme confidence in their personal abilities. Characteristically their campaigns showed a lack of seriousness and gave the voters nothing upon which to base their votes. It is fortunate that the electorate does not vote for clever posters and attention-catching cliches. The worst part of such lack of seriousness is that voters tend to feel that the position of second year rep on Council is trivial. Though some Student Council positions are more important than others, there is no such thing as an unimportant position. Every Council position requires both a sense of responsibility and common sense.

While not all candidates for second year representative were flippant in their campaigns, all candidates for Cultural Affairs Chairman suffered from too narrow a definition of culture. Although 'culture' usually refers to the arts -- i.e. to music, art and drama -- it can also mean much more. It can and should refer to all aspects of our society from arts to politics, from social reform to automation. Part of the bane of Glendon College is that it is cloistered. Very few new ideas enter the mainstream of student thinking; all the old ideas continue to rotate in narrow, restricted and incestuous patterns. A good speakers' programme dealing with wide-ranging topics is badly needed. It is no credit to any of the candidates for cultural Affairs that they restricted their platforms to the arts.

In general the Student Union elections went well, and beyond the above minor criticisms were generally successful.

--vh--

MEN'S INTRAMURAL COUNCIL... ...R. Cuthbert

The Executive Council of the Men's Intramural Athletic Organization will be composed of the Athletic Chairman (the Men's Athletic Representative elected by the Student Union), two Vice-Chairmen, and three Men's Assistants appointed by a selection committee. The committee will try to act in a democratic manner in making their selections.

In selecting the two Vice-Chairmen, the committee will take into account the applicant's leadership ability in sports, his organizational ability, and his active contribution to sports while at York. This last prerequisite limits the position of Vice-Chairmen by requiring a wide knowledge of the York sports organization in order to put the Intramural Programme into effect. In addition, the Vice-Chairmen must be able to present a clear picture of sports at York in order to be effective on the Athletic Directorate. The duties of Vice-Chairmen will also encompass the field of publicity for the sports program; they will act as liaison between athletics and the Student Council.

The Men's Athletic Assistants will not be required to have as detailed a knowledge of sports as the Vice-Chairmen. Two assistants will be appointed this spring and one in the fall. The one appointed in the fall will preferably be a freshman in order to provide continuity in athletics at York. The duties of the Assistants will not be as broad as those of the Vice-Chairmen but they will be much more detailed. The Assistants will each be responsible for a specific number of athletic activities. Each assistant must have a working knowledge of each of the sports under him in order to be able to provide accurate reports of their progress.

The Vice-Chairmen and the Assistants will be responsible to the Chairman and to the Intramural Athletic Council. The Intramural Council will be composed of the executive and the representatives of each sport recognized in the Intramural programme, and will be an active body responsible for the success of Intramural Programme.

FROM THE FIELD HOUSE...

The Women's Intramural Council held a meeting this afternoon in the Conference Room. With 26 reps in attendance Miss Karen Burgess officially turned the office of Women's Athletic Representative over to Miss Helen Brown after which the old club reps. turned their offices over to the new ones. A Women's Intramural Executive Council still has to be chosen and application forms for the various offices are available on the bulletin board in York Hall.

A VISIT TO THE LAND OF OZ...

Friday March 19, Dorothy, Auntie Em, Toto, and the rest of York's Synchronized Swim Team will turn the fieldhouse into an Emerald City with a synchronized swimming display followed by an animal dance. Trip starts at 8:00 p.m. and the fare is 75¢ per Munchkin, \$1.25 family plan.

EXECUTIVE POSITIONS ON THE
INTRAMURAL COUNCIL
ARE NOW OPENED AND

APPLICATIONS ARE BEING RECEIVED UNTIL...

wednesday march 24

MEN: VICE-CHAIRMEN (2)
ATHLETIC ASSISTANTS (2)

WOMEN: VICE-CHAIRMAN
ATHLETIC ASSISTANTS

TREASURER -- EITHER MAN OR WOMAN.

=====

ALL MEMBERS OF THIRD YEAR...

It has been a tradition (one of many) for each graduating class of York University to present the school with a gift. Previous grad classes have left an original painting and a coat of arms of York University for the old Dining Hall. The gift is usually presented to the University at Convocation held in the first few days of June. There are approximately 2-1/2 months in which the grad class can decide upon and pay for its gift.

Please leave any suggestions with Victor Hori (III) or Barbara Hill (III).

=====

ALL ABOUT US... at the Royal Alex.
tickets on sale at the box office.

---DON'T MISS THIS ONE---

=====

what does dr conway think of charles brayfield?

STUDENT COUNCIL MEETING...

On Monday March 12, a joint meeting of the 1964-65 and 1965-66 Student Councils was held. Also in attendance was the Founder's College Council and Dr. Murray Ross, who welcomed the new council and offered the aid of himself and the faculty in the coming year.

The first half of the meeting consisted of final reports and thank you's to the members of the 1964-65 Council. It was concluded by the report of the Chief Returning Officer after which the new council officially assumed office. The only motion passed on Monday by the old council which should be of interest to the Student Union was that a committee be struck to study University Financing and prepare a report outlining the principles of University Financing that would be in the best interests of the students of York.

The first matter to come before the new Council was the 1965-66 budget. It was passed unanimously and will be presented to the Committee on Student Affairs next Tuesday. Garnet Barlow the first vice-president was appointed Publications Commissioner by general consent and is proceeding with the Commission to select the editors for the 1965-66 publications. A motion was passed unanimously changing the number of PRO-TEM editors from three to a maximum of three and removing all stipulations as to the year of registration of the editors. A committee was struck to study the needs for student government of our expanding university and under the chairmanship of Colin Campbell will proceed to prepare a report on the structure of student government best suited to our bi-campus multi-college university.

The meeting closed after a discussion of the final banquet and the coming student Court elections.

LOOKING FOR A JOB?

By the end of March, most students either have a summer job or are preparing to spend the summer in Europe. The remaining students who are not so fortunate and who are still seeking summer employment can visit the National Employment Service office in the Field House.

So far this year, Miss Ciebien, the director of the N.E.S. for York has talked with about half of the student body. Approximately 100 students found temporary employment during the Christmas holidays, as well as part time jobs during the school year. Several graduating students have been located in permanent jobs in junior executive positions. The Employment office really does find jobs for students.

The summer employment situation shapes up this way.

Most of the major companies in Toronto have been contacted for job offers. Company requests for summer help are now coming into the N.E.S. office. Miss Ciebien expects to receive a small number of offers for summer jobs during the first weeks of April. Usually companies make their arrangements for summer employment early in the Christmas break or very late in the spring, just before summer help is required. Consequently job offers will increase as summer approaches. In general, the chances of finding a summer job through the National Employment Service are good.

More information is available from Miss Ciebien whose office is in the Field House.

ALL MEMBERS OF THE YORK COMMUNITY

are cordially invited
to

THE FINAL BANQUET

and

DANCE

Friday March 26

Sumptuous Buffet Dinner	6:30
Honours Award Pageant	8:15
Regal Ball	9:00

FEATURING:

THE CAMBRIDGE QUINTET

with: Charlie Rollo, Rob McConnell,
Fred Duligal, Archie Alleyne, and
Dave Bell.

Banquet Tickets Free to Members of the University Community and at \$2.50 to their guests. Admission to the dance free to all members of the University Community and their guests.

Have a Blast!

EDITORSHIP APPLICATIONS

Applications are open for the editorships of the York University Student Handbook, THE AARDVARK, and the Student Directory, THE ZZARDVARK. Students should apply in writing to the Publications Commissioner, Garnet Barlow (1) . . A-House, Wood. Res.

FOLK AND SONG...

...Ian Cameron

First, I should apologize for the obsession in this column, for the past few weeks with the concepts on which the York Coffee House has been based. There shall be more of folk and song in Toronto, next week!

"The Hostile Eye," York's Coffee House, ran for its third Friday session last week, in the almost ideal setting of the Terrace Room. The vending machines were covered with paper, the lights were turned out, we put in a passable sound system and a spotlight, and had the most successful session so far. Joe Mendelson, with blues of all types, and myself were the only singers present. Apparently the time of year just is not propitious for getting singers, or there may be other reasons... Until midnight, the session went fairly well, though it lacked inspiration. After 12, however, with the poetry of John Panter, Lynn Atkins and Al Offstein, and reading from Shakespeare by Ted Hunt, interspersed with the odd song (at times quite odd!), audience-performer relationship became very close, and at times developed a very exciting atmosphere -- creativity became meaningful, and not just clever or skilled or entertaining. Ted Hunt's performance of some of Shakespeare's notable speeches and poems was both inspired and exciting. Al Offstein's poems fused the ironic with the sensitive, Lynn Atkins' were personal and powerful, John Panter's interesting and thought-provoking. Joe Mendelson's own song "Josephine" was the highlight of a sensitive series of performances of blues on a quieter level. His version of "The House of the Rising Sun" re-established that song, after its reduction to infra-humanity by "The Animals".

The evening, then, was an example of what I hoped could happen in a coffee house on the Glendon campus. An Arts University, without an informal center for the performing arts is a sad institution. I therefore suggest, urge, and will coerce as much as possible, that the coffee house be continued next year. There has been an indication from the girls of D-House that they would like to keep this as a House project. That is good, but it does not relieve others in the University from the responsibility of obtaining through Student Council the best possible room on campus, where at least sixty people can be comfortable till any hour in the morning.

I feel that "The Hostile Eye" would be one of the most important aspects of extra-curricular affairs on this campus. I look forward to hearing about what is done next year. By the way, thanks to Mr. Tobin for the name!

BLURBS FROM EXTERNAL AFFAIRS...

...Richard Schultz

1. STUDENT MEANS SURVEY:

The following people have not yet submitted their

completed questionnaires: Miss G. Banks, Miss M. P. Day, J. Menzies, B. D. Taylor, Miss C. Bragg, Miss S. Phillips. It is imperative that they do so by Monday at the latest so that the questionnaires may be sent to Ottawa where the results will be tabulated. If the survey is to have any effect at all, all those students who were chosen to complete the questionnaire must do so. The questionnaires can be left in the office of the Dean of Students.

2. C.U.S. HOUSING SERVICE:

This is the last survey that York Students will be asked to undergo this year. With exploding enrolments in many Canadian universities, York being an excellent example, the problem of student housing justifies detailed study of student accommodations throughout Canada.

This survey will be distributed to day students in the Old Dining Hall on Monday during the lunch hour and to residence students through the mail. The questionnaire is such that it can be completed in about three (3) minutes. Day students can place their completed surveys in the office of the Dean of Students or in an envelope on the main bulletin board. Residence students should deposit their completed forms in the envelope in the Porters' Lodge. As we are already two months late in completing this survey, we would like the questionnaires returned by Friday, March 26 at the latest. Again, all students who receive a questionnaire are asked to complete it. Such surveys are designed to serve your interests.

3. UNITED NATIONS CLUB:

All those interested in forming a U. N. Club at York next year are asked to get in touch with John M. Laughlin, Doug Raynor or Richard Schultz. If enough interest is shown, York may hold a Model Assembly next year. It is up to the student to show their interest in such a project if it is to be undertaken.

4. Student Travel Cards and Handbooks are available from Barb Myers, Travel Director.

FANTASTIC
BARGAINS..... "He's done it again!"

Danny "Mishige" Kayfetz brought his guns to town. You name it, he's got it!
(Smallpox, diphtheria, whooping cough)
Seriously, if you want guns, or scuba equipment, skis, call

444-5549

Danny will fix you up good!!!

WHY AN IDENTITY?...

A great many Canadians are concerned to establish a national identity. The Bi and Bi Commission seems to have interpreted its role as that of synthesizing the diverse views on how to achieve this identity. Some apparently feel that reforms in Canada's institutions will prove efficacious. A few want all Canadians to learn French (though more realistic souls feel that we should master English first). There are even those who believe that a national anthem is the answer.

What characterizes all of these arguments is their metaphysical nature. They talk about solving an indescribable problem -- "The crisis of Canada" -- by effecting an intangible solution -- "a Canadian identity"; and this through essentially artificial means.

Without completely discounting the aesthetic value of a metaphysical analysis of our malaise, it is nevertheless true that Canada faces concrete problems of regionalism, complicated in the case of Quebec by the language factor. It would be more fruitful to attempt to deal with specific problems than to adopt symbols of a unity that does not exist or to articulate an identity where there is none.

If Canadians do possess some identifying feature, it is precisely our non-identity. Perhaps this is a good thing. For an identity often becomes a springboard to nationalism. Despite its merits, nationalism today has replaced religion as the major source of world conflict.

Concomitant with nationalism in the international arena an identity can lead to domestic intolerance. For an identity soon solidifies into a mould, and all who are to fit in must conform to the mould.

We in Canada are fortunate to have been protected from the development of a rigid identity, though our good fortune came quite by accident, arising as it did from the Anglo-French dichotomy. Now, at a time when we should cherish this undeserved tradition, we strive ceaselessly to replace the ambiguity of Canadianism with a clear identity. Surely if we can learn instead to think of ourselves in a more inclusive sense than merely as Canadians, we may be able to lead in the evolution towards the type of cosmopolitan understanding that must develop throughout the world.

--db--

PUBLIC RELATIONS AND ADVERTISING

Applications for positions in the Student Council's Public Relations and Advertising Office are now being accepted. The positions will provide practical experience for students interested in careers in public relations.

All applications and enquiries should be directed to the Student Council Executive.

FOR LUNCH, SUPPER....

OR WHENEVER YOUR HUNGRY,

COME TO

HOPGOOD'S

Old Fashioned Sandwich & Ice Cream Parlour

Try these favourites:

Beefpaks - the elite of hamburgs,

Submarine Sandwich - with Virginia-cured ham, spicy salami, tangy cheese, crisp lettuce and tomato,

Chili - homemade and H O T !

For Sundaes, you'll have to see to believe, especially our version of the famous...

KITCHEN SINK...

40 scoops of ice cream, and everything from the fountain on top but - !

Open Nightly until 10 p.m.
Friday and Saturday until 1 a.m.

1678 Avenue Road (opposite the Glendale Theatre)

782-9809

Dave

Bell

Got

Accepted

To

Harvard.

(how about that?)