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Elections for five student court judges are to take place today. It is important that the Student Union gain the bakground knowledge necessary to cast an intelligent vote. With this in mind we feel it is the responsibility of the PRO-TEM to clarify some of the issues involving the role and structure of the Student Court.

STRUCTURE:
From the nominations received from any member of the Student Union, the Student Council selects a slate of 10 candidates. From these ten dandidates for Court judge, the Student Union elects five to constitusteithe board. The candidates who rank 6th and 7th are designated as auxiliary judges to take the bench should one of the original five elected be unable to sit in a case. The five elected to the bench choose their own Chief Justice.

## JURISDICTION:

The Court is at present responsible for trying all complaints which are violations of rules passed by the Student Council and approved by the Committee on Studenf Affairs. The rules and regulations passed by the Council are found in the Aardvark, and are many and varied. The Court however does not have furisdiction over the "Regulations Promulgated by the University" (See Section \#7, Page 19, Aardvíark.) although the Student Council has pressed for jurisdiction in this area. These regulations include $i$ Liquor violations, library violations and motor vehicle violations (for which a student last year was rusticated by the University). It is still a matter of debate between the Student Council and the Committee on Student Affairs as to whether the Court of the Committee shall have jurisdiction over violations which do nof fall under the rules and regulations in Aardvark.

## POWERS:

The court can levy the following penalties:
In addition to restitution or replacement costs in cases of damage, punishment can consist of:
a) withdrawal of Student Union privileges,
b) fines up to $\$ 50.00$
c) recommended rustication
d) recommended expulsion.

Appeals of Student Court decisions are to be directed to the Committee on Student Affairs.

## VERY IMPORTANT MISCELLANEOUS FACTS:

1.) Since the Student Court is to function as a Court of Justice and not exclusively as a Court of Law, it need not be bound by precedent in prescribing penalties.
2) Mr. George Howden (III) has criticized "our illustrious but inactive Student Court" (Election Assembly, March 4/65). It is no criticism of the Student Court that it has never sat; it has never construed itself as a police force seeking violations.

The fact that we have not needed it is reflected in the fact that no major violations of rules have taken place this year.
3) The Council has insisted that theStudent Court is an independent body serving the needs of the entire university; it is emphatically not just an arm of the Student Council (See theCouncil's Brief to the Committee on Student Affairs, October/64). The Committee has in turn felt that if the Court really was such an independent body, then it would have to have faculty representation on the bench. There are arguments both for and against a faculty-student court and this idea has been suggested to members of the Council. The argument is not whether the Student Court ought to be independent of the Council (for students are agreed that it should be) but how the Court's structure ought to be changed in order to make it truly independent.
4) The people who sit on the Student Court must be persons of common sense and discretion; they must be lookedi to by the Student Union as being students of more than usual maturity.
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## AND THEN I LEARNED TO WRITE DEP'T...

Dear Sirs,
I have due respect for the commonsense and manners displayed by the majority of students at York. However there is one particular habit which is particularly irksome, vulgar, and absolutely uncalled for -- the butting of cigarettes in the water fountains of the library. I prefer my water without nicotine, thanks. The solution lies in either one of two courses:
(a) either smoking must be rigidly banned from the basement of the library,
(b) or a compromise arrangement could be reached ---vizu, an ashtray.
As yet the problem is not too far out of hand -- howeve the purpose of this writer is to draw the attention of individuals and institutions concerned to the existence of this practice which is not pleasing to all members of the University community.

Gordon Andrews (III)

## SCOOBA DOOBA DOO !

--Complete Scuba Outfit
--72 cu. ft." Tank -- Harness
--J valve regulator -- regularly
$\$ 200$-- BUY BEFORE FRIDAY FOR ONLY $\$ 125$.
call Danny
444-5549

## SO YOU WANTTO VOTE.

Today, from 9:30 am until 3:30 pm, students will be casting their ballots to elect the five Student Court judges for 1965-66. I do not expect the turnout to be nearly as large as that which wes witnessed at thes year's Student Union Elections when $79 \%$ of the Student Body cast their ballots, it may stem paradoxical, but ! will be most shocked and distrossed if more than $10 \%$ of the student body were to exercise their frenchise tordy.

I hope my intentions will not be misunderstood. The high turnout for the Student Union Elections was justified by the interest shown in the campaign and the cardidates. I am certain that the candidates at that trae will agree that it was a plearant and heartening surprise to see the Dining Hall filled for the election assemblies: Speaking personally, the attendance at the assemblies restored my faith in the awareness and concem of the student hody for student sovernment. in most cases the victories were won by only a narrow margin, but the voters knew the candidctes and were aware of what they were voting for.

It con hardly be said thet this is the ense for today's election. Yesterday, from 2:30-400C, o "Meet the Candidetes Tea" was held in the juning Common Room. On this occasion, the cendidates introduced themselves and dessribed their background and qualificotions anci then were questioned by the students on any miovent matfers. Those present were given the chancen not only to learn the policies of the condidates but aiso to become aware of their personalitios which is on inportant criterior in judging those who ank a nosition on the Student Court.

However, there were only thety twe stuchata the tea including the ten candidates. This is ravigy $5 \%$ of the student body. Perhaps among the student union there are another thirty-five students who have met all ten candidates and know their qualifications, and views. This brings the total number of informed studeris to $10 \%$. How many students then whl! flash their ATL card, pick up a ballot and cast their vote with no intelligent criteria as their guide?

If c finger is to be pointed, it should be directed towards the freshmen. They comprise $50 \%$ of the student body and thus control the balnance of power behind the votes. Only one of the ten candidates is in first year and yet the freshmen have mode no effort to become acquainted with the candidates who complete the ballot and who, for the most part, are unknown to them.

To bring my argument to its logical conclusion, the grentest service that $90 \%$ of the studenis can render to York taday is to refrain from roting and to cllow that $10 \%$ which is aware of the issues and the merits of the candidates, to choose the members of the next Student Court.

