Tuition up next year

by JIM DAW

A confidential Treasury Board document suggests a tuition fee increase of as much as $400 for Arts students. However, an official at the University Affairs Department in preparing the 1972-73 estimates, decisions as to which constraint alternatives should be followed in meeting limitation, expenditure are required. 

The report was presented to the cabinet early in November by the Minister of Colleges and Universities, reveals a definite intention on the part of the government to lower the cost of education to the taxpayers. Whether it implies raising student contribution or an austerity program to squeeze out more subsidy for the dollars, is not yet clear. Side by side with the provincial cabinet but next Wednesday's budget speech will tell.

The government’s intention to reduce costs to the tax payers seems certain however. One can only assume that a choice is to be made between alternatives. The Treasury Board document states: “In order to guide the (Treas­ury) Department in preparing the 1972-73 estimates, decisions as to which constraint alternatives should be followed in meeting limitation, expenditure are required.”

With the decline in residence occupancy of this year, the administration has started to formulate plans to attract a greater number of students into Wood and Hilliard Residences next September. With slight attendance at similar food plans to the present 14 or 19 meal plans, the provision of kitchens in a couple of Hilliard Houses and an experimental integrated house in Wood residence.

Kitchens are to be added to D and E houses of Hilliard. But as yet, Versafood has refused to accept anything less than a meal plan. The cost of the room would therefore be $15 more per year than a 21 meal plan without kitchen and this does not include the cost of food for the kitchen. The kitchens would be located adjacent to the washers and dryers. Only to house members who would be supplied with a separate key.

The student-proposed five meal plan was rejected by Charles Kirk, Assistant of Ancillary Services, on the grounds that it is not economically feasible. The new meal plan options are geared to maintain a “viable operation”—that is to keep the cost to the university low. There are four choices although only three are considered practicable to administer at one time. The choices follow: A. 21 (3 meals 7 days) for the cost of $325 per year or $16.94 per week. B. 19 (3 meals x 5 days, 4 meals on weekends) at $310 per year or $16.45 per week. C. 14 (Lunch and Dinner 7 days per week) for $480 per year or $16.00 per week. D. 10 (Lunch and Dinner 5 days per week) for $385 per year or $11.60 per week. Food Services say that this allows a 22 per cent discount on the 21 and 19 meal plans, a 15 per cent discount on the 14 meal scheme, and a 10 per cent discount on the 10 meal scheme calculated on basing three meals a day at regular prices.

Ed James, the Versafood Director, says that the patrons on stolen meals and sandwiches have produced a total deficit of $20,000 between the two campuses. In an attempt to alleviate this problem, Versafood will offer a day student plan of 7 meals a week at the cost of $560.

There is a projected $9,000 deficit in next year's budget. Armed with these facts, Versafood doesn’t like the new plans. Charles Kirk will be on campus today at 1:00 to discuss the food services.

The problem of residence costs which promoted a half-hearted strike-effort by resident students, has also been given consideration. A subsidy of $20,000 taken from the Bilingual Grant has been proposed and accepted by the executive committee of faculty council will reduce the fees to a certain extent. There are three proposals which are subject to individual house votes. First, it is proposed that the cost of a double room be reduced by $100 and will be open for discussion at the Forum on the Residences with Charles Kirk today at 1:00.

The government may however opt for some other method of lowering the cost of education to the tax payer. It has been suggested that the ceiling on loans for the student aid programme be raised from $3000 per year to $3000 or even a $1000. (monies to the ceiling are considered to be grants.)

there were still investigating the possibility of a jump to $650 for next year.

The Toronto Union of Students supported by the newly formed Ontario Federation of Students has opposed four of the Treasury Board proposals and is organizing a mass mee­ting on tomorrow's Friday, March 28, the day that the budget comes down.

no government officials are of course consulting with the cabinet the preference in the matter. However an official at the Ontario Confederation of the University Faculty Association, Chuck Hanley, said yesterday that he would be very surprised if tuition was raised as much as $400. He feels an increase administratively feasible at this time of the year because the complications in administering the student aid programme would be minimal. He, however, ruled out the possibility of such an increase over the next couple of years. The Wright Commission is still investigating the possibility of a jump to $650 for next year.
Invitation provokes SC discussion

by BARRY WALLIS

An invitation by the Board of Governors to attend a discussion on student culture at Glendon met with mixed reaction at Monday’s student council meeting.

Some members were not sure what was meant by student culture and others were not sure what was meant by a Board of Governors.

The disturbance that resulted over Paul Johnston’s letter of acceptance qualified by the provision that discussion not be limited specifically to culture showed a market dichotomy of opinion within the council.

Some felt that the Board should not be recognized as being legitimate as a governing board while others urged that the invitation should be accepted because it would provide a good time. Mr. Johnston’s cool-headedness prevailed and the letter of acceptance will be sent.

Johnston’s letter states that any meeting between the students’ council and members of the Board will not be a “social occasion” as they had suggested. It further insists that the following points be put on its agenda: 1) who controls the university — the rationale for student-faculty-staff control; 2) the role of York in the Canadian community (to include such issues as war research); and 3) the role of the Board of Governors in the government of the university.

It was accepted in principle that next year’s communications commissioner, Barrie Wallis, should go ahead with plans to sever links with Radio York and establish Radio Glendon. The motion was introduced by social communications officer, Moe Jansons, who will lend assistance to Wallis and was carried unanimously.

Objection was raised to the interference of the student union of McGill University in the affairs of the McGill Daily. Johnston pointed out that the student union is sponsoring its own candidate for the position of editor of the newspaper despite the fact that the staff has elected an editor already. It was unanimously agreed to send a letter to the student union to register its disapproval of this practice.

Johnston may have been feeling particularly inclined to letter writing because he also suggested writing a letter commenting on the disturbances that will lend assistance to Wallis Daily. Johnston pointed out that the student union is sponsoring its own candidate for the position of editor of the newspaper despite the fact that the staff has elected an editor already. It was unanimously agreed to send a letter to the student union to register its disapproval of this practice.
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The disturbance that resulted over Paul Johnston’s letter of acceptance qualified by the provision that discussion not be limited specifically to culture showed a market dichotomy of opinion within the council.

Some felt that the Board should not be recognized as being legitimate as a governing board while others urged that the invitation should be accepted because it would provide a good time. Mr. Johnston’s cool-headedness prevailed and the letter of acceptance will be sent.

Johnston’s letter states that any meeting between the students’ council and members of the Board will not be a “social occasion” as they had suggested. It further insists that the following points be put on its agenda: 1) who controls the university — the rationale for student-faculty-staff control; 2) the role of York in the Canadian community (to include such issues as war research); and 3) the role of the Board of Governors in the government of the university.

It was accepted in principle that next year’s communications commissioner, Barrie Wallis, should go ahead with plans to sever links with Radio York and establish Radio Glendon. The motion was introduced by social communications officer, Moe Jansons, who will lend assistance to Wallis and was carried unanimously.

Objection was raised to the interference of the student union of McGill University in the affairs of the McGill Daily. Johnston pointed out that the student union is sponsoring its own candidate for the position of editor of the newspaper despite the fact that the staff has elected an editor already. It was unanimously agreed to send a letter to the student union to register its disapproval of this practice.

Johnston may have been feeling particularly inclined to letter writing because he also suggested writing a letter commenting on the disturbances that will lend assistance to Wallis Daily. Johnston pointed out that the student union is sponsoring its own candidate for the position of editor of the newspaper despite the fact that the staff has elected an editor already. It was unanimously agreed to send a letter to the student union to register its disapproval of this practice.

Johnston may have been feeling particularly inclined to letter writing because he also suggested writing a letter commenting on the disturbances that will lend assistance to Wallis Daily. Johnston pointed out that the student union is sponsoring its own candidate for the position of editor of the newspaper despite the fact that the staff has elected an editor already. It was unanimously agreed to send a letter to the student union to register its disapproval of this practice.

Johnston may have been feeling particularly inclined to letter writing because he also suggested writing a letter commenting on the disturbances that will lend assistance to Wallis Daily. Johnston pointed out that the student union is sponsoring its own candidate for the position of editor of the newspaper despite the fact that the staff has elected an editor already. It was unanimously agreed to send a letter to the student union to register its disapproval of this practice.

Johnston may have been feeling particularly inclined to letter writing because he also suggested writing a letter commenting on the disturbances that will lend assistance to Wallis Daily. Johnston pointed out that the student union is sponsoring its own candidate for the position of editor of the newspaper despite the fact that the staff has elected an editor already. It was unanimously agreed to send a letter to the student union to register its disapproval of this practice.

Johnston may have been feeling particularly inclined to letter writing because he also suggested writing a letter commenting on the disturbances that will lend assistance to Wallis Daily. Johnston pointed out that the student union is sponsoring its own candidate for the position of editor of the newspaper despite the fact that the staff has elected an editor already. It was unanimously agreed to send a letter to the student union to register its disapproval of this practice.

Johnston may have been feeling particularly inclined to letter writing because he also suggested writing a letter commenting on the disturbances that will lend assistance to Wallis Daily. Johnston pointed out that the student union is sponsoring its own candidate for the position of editor of the newspaper despite the fact that the staff has elected an editor already. It was unanimously agreed to send a letter to the student union to register its disapproval of this practice.

Johnston may have been feeling particularly inclined to letter writing because he also suggested writing a letter commenting on the disturbances that will lend assistance to Wallis Daily. Johnston pointed out that the student union is sponsoring its own candidate for the position of editor of the newspaper despite the fact that the staff has elected an editor already. It was unanimously agreed to send a letter to the student union to register its disapproval of this practice.

Johnston may have been feeling particularly inclined to letter writing because he also suggested writing a letter commenting on the disturbances that will lend assistance to Wallis Daily. Johnston pointed out that the student union is sponsoring its own candidate for the position of editor of the newspaper despite the fact that the staff has elected an editor already. It was unanimously agreed to send a letter to the student union to register its disapproval of this practice.

Johnston may have been feeling particularly inclined to letter writing because he also suggested writing a letter commenting on the disturbances that will lend assistance to Wallis Daily. Johnston pointed out that the student union is sponsoring its own candidate for the position of editor of the newspaper despite the fact that the staff has elected an editor already. It was unanimously agreed to send a letter to the student union to register its disapproval of this practice.

Johnston may have been feeling particularly inclined to letter writing because he also suggested writing a letter commenting on the disturbances that will lend assistance to Wallis Daily. Johnston pointed out that the student union is sponsoring its own candidate for the position of editor of the newspaper despite the fact that the staff has elected an editor already. It was unanimously agreed to send a letter to the student union to register its disapproval of this practice.
NDP internal strife in sight

by BARRY WEISLEDER

A showdown between the radical elements of the New Democratic Party and the Ontario NDP leadership appears imminent, especially after last weekend's ONDP provincial council meeting in Oshawa.

The council, which is the ruling body of the Party between conventions, received an extraordinary report by leader Stephen Lewis that amounted to a scathing attack on the party's prime minister, Charles Tupper. He argued vehemently that, structurally, the Waffle was a party within a party; that it has been scornfully abusive of the people's own trade union leadership; that the ideological gap between it and party policy, especially in the public view, is becoming unbridgeable; and that the basic relations within the ONDP, because of the tremors, are straining beyond the point of repair.

He went on to suggest that the present conflicts within the Waffle would have been settled quite soon. But in order to head off the more extreme Hamilton mountain resolution sentiment that would have the Waffle expelled and appease those centre elements of the Party that were calling for precipitous action (indicated by the subcommittee's report on their resolutions or protest to that effect) he proposed an alternative compromise.

The alternative, moved formally by the federal secretary, Gordon Brignon, in a procedure that seemed well-choreographed, was to move the Waffle to the front podium, instructed the Waffle to prepare a report for discussion at the next council meeting, due in June, dependent on the timing of the upcoming Federal election.

The resolution would have the responsibilities of party matters devolved to the national executive, without any implications for internal governance and consider earlier resolutions about the Waffle's role within the ONDP in light of the events of the past year. It was carried 157 to 62, with the block support of about 18 trade union delegates (usually 8 or 9 show up at council meetings).

A Waffle attempt to enta­

ble an inter-party special committee with a wider term of reference mandating it to examine all the organized struggle was defeated. Whether the Waffle's expulsion and appeal can really call 'demands' un­

conditional was also defeated.

I might add I am confused about Mr. Weisleder's state­
ment that the "library issue is 2nd. Is it exposing that the Party is not the university willing to indulge in such political vio­

lence? The answer to these questions is merely the nature of the university, thus more forceful. Therefore, in principle, to say that the library issue can be dropping it as an issue in the Party, is not in the context of the university," as the real nature of the university is everywhere, so what it has been or might be does not preclude us from understanding what it is. 

Michael Otto

Defence de Stettiner

To the Editor:

I received a complaint from a group of students at Regent's Park University that the excessive unauthorized use of their parking lot by cars belonging to faculty and staff of Glendon College. This has been going on for some time. I have heard that members of that church are having difficulty in finding space to park their cars during the day or evening meetings other than on campus.

I informed Mr. Kerr that I would do what I could to in­

fluence students of this problem and that the appropriate students discussed the problem and decided to take further action for a week, for this reason. Would you please, as a representative of the Glendon College, request the church to stop using the parking lot. We have used. Our failure to ease the pressure is sending this university into a procedure that seemed well­

killed by BARR Y WEISLEDER

attacked unfair

To the Editor:

Paul Regan is a man; now we understand that Regan wears his cap; he does not want to catch haemorrhoids. He went on to suggest that the next Mr. Penner knows what he is doing. Mr. Penner knows what he is doing, that he is a 'Quebecker' and I am not. He went on to suggest that the next Mrs. Levitt is not at all like Mrs. Levitt, but I am not sure what she means by "not at all like Mrs. Levitt," although I am sure that whatever it is, it means that I am not Mrs. Levitt, in the future.

Mrs. Levitt is not an "arm­

chair economic nationalist"; on the contrary, she is a very involved person in her community (Montreal). But she pointed out, that in English-Canada the only nationalism people have is an "armchair economic nationalism." She made it very clear that nationalism must be based on things other than economic reasoning. I do not know that it does not please too many Trudeau or Lewis fans, but that is the truth.

I read the article in PRO today and on the very next day I do not believe that Paul and I had the same feeling for Mrs. Levitt. Mrs. Levitt is not at all like the woman described by Paul. Mrs. Levitt is not an "armchair economic nationalist." She made it very clear that nationalism must be based on things other than economic reasoning. I do not know that it is just as clear that it does not please too many Trudeau or Lewis fans, but that is the truth.

I received a letter from a 2nd person for Mrs. Levitt in the future.
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The issues behind the library dispute

Some individuals within student government circles are mildly excited these days because they think there may be an upsurge of activism among their members. For many years students have been fighting for a student union free of control by the administration and this struggle has continued to this day. In 1965, the Open Stacks Committee was formed as a result of John Sword's recommendation that the University of Toronto give students access to all library materials. This recommendation was adopted by the Senate in 1966, but the implementation of this policy has been delayed until now.

The resurgence of the student movement in Canada has been at the highest level in years with the student strike at the University of Toronto. The demand for the right to keep the real issue behind last Monday's confrontation in focus, the question of accessibility to the university. The Open Stacks Committee was formed in 1965 as a result of John Sword's recommendation that the University of Toronto give students access to all library materials. This recommendation was adopted by the Senate in 1966, but the implementation of this policy has been delayed until now.

The resurgence of the student movement in Canada has been at the highest level in years with the student strike at the University of Toronto. The demand for the right to keep the real issue behind last Monday's confrontation in focus, the question of accessibility to the university.

The resurgence of the student movement in Canada has been at the highest level in years with the student strike at the University of Toronto. The demand for the right to keep the real issue behind last Monday's confrontation in focus, the question of accessibility to the university.
Many students feel that, although the course demanded a very special sort of student, such as the right of some people to make it a relevant experience and intellectually rewarding.

One who can make it a relevant experience and intellectually rewarding is a monolithic political position.

Nesar Ahmad voiced the concern that "certain ethics in journalism are involved here, such as the right of any newspaper to autonomy." However Denton — who has no newspaper experience — came out strongly in favour of the contract.

The meeting was hastily adjourned after a call came from the audience to convene a special meeting. "We wish for a free and open Daily," About 125 people gathered in an adjoining room and began planning resistance to the council decision.

At this meeting Ahmad called for all students to mobilize support for a free and open Daily and charged that the council meeting was characterized by "civil liberties." Sociology professor, Marlene Dixon, who supported Ahmad's candidacy, described a meeting that she and other faculty members attended with President Zinner, at "which he made it clear that he supported the Daily to, as he put it, "help them out, and they are keeping the Students', Society from falling apart.'" Earlier Denton had told council that "the process of decision making is still under community being perpetrated by people with an interest in destroying this community. The role of the Daily editor next year will be to restore some kind of belonging to this paper." A student at the organizational meeting stated, "We played by their rules and we lost. It's been useless because now we know the enemy."

Many students came to the Daily office after the meeting ended and declared their determination to campaign against that council. A petition supporting the Daily staff gained 500 signatures in four hours.

The council decision is being appealed to the Judicial Committee of the Students' Society on the grounds that parliamentary procedure requires appetites to receive a clear majority of the votes cast. Denton received only a plurality.

The Laurentian students set up a picker line around the residence and convinced drivers not to cross it. Delivery trucks turned back and the only vehicle to cross was a University of Sudbury snowplow.

The picketers also converged on the Laurentian Senate chambers where the regular agenda was dropped in favour of a discussion on the U of S issue. The Senate "publicly deployed" the action of the University of Sudbury and passed a resolution declaring the abolition of "all vestiges of 'in loco parentis' from the university and its affiliated colleges.

A lawyer retained for the evicted students by the Laurentian student association, told the students that the Laurentian Act does not apply to universities.

An organizer of the protest told the local media, which is giving it substantial coverage, that the adverse publicity from the picker was designed to stop the university from setting up a precedent in residence management.

The residence is run for Catholic students by Jesuit fathers.

Woman course in jeopardy

by ELEONOR PAUL

Some students are mobilizing to prevent the discontinuation of Hunter's course in Women's Studies for next year.

Two problems have arisen financial restrictions and the loss of the woman president running the course. The information was collected from the main campus History department but has been offered a full-time post there and so will not be able to teach the course. A total of 22 students, has been formed to keep this course alive.

At the meeting, Ms. Hunter, the present instructor, the Humanities Department is looking for a bilingual PhD to work full time. She says that they are a "critical little thing" to keep the course going. They want a full-time person who can handle other areas of study as well as the woman's course. Hunter said that "what they really want is admission to the other subjects that they can stick with the women's course.

Principal Al Tucker says that he thinks that a full-time person would be better. "It is to the advantage of the college to have a core of full-time people who are interested in stead of bringing part-time people in from over all," he said.

Hunter has recommended Judy Veitch to take her PhD in medieval English.

The committee has met with this woman and feels that she is suitable for the job. One student explained that this is not dominating the way some people are and just frightening the students. Hunter fears that the person who gets the job will have "choices will be unsatisfactory. As she said "if they get a man it won't work and all the students are uncomfortable with a man and get frightened when they meet a male."

Hunter feels that the person who gets the job will have "choices will be unsatisfactory. As she said "if they get a man it won't work and all the students are uncomfortable with a man and get frightened when they meet a male." Tucker said that he realized that the course demanded a very special sort of person,
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Compromise on release of French evaluation

by DAPHNE READ

After a long discussion last Thursday afternoon, the first-year French reps decided to ask for a special meeting of the French department to be held this week. They will present a proposal that the results of the teacher-evaluation questionnaire be made available to students six weeks after school starts. It is hoped that this will still allow students the option of changing professors within a course.

The majority of the fifteen reps present agreed to this. The issue was re-hashed in a long heated discussion. Some wanted the results published earlier. Many felt that it was unfair that a professor should be rated before he entered the classroom and that he should be given the opportunity to prove himself before the evaluation results were published. Evidently in some classes already, personal discussion with the professor has resulted in an improved teaching situation. One rep reported that his class was against publishing the results. Instead of the teacher-evaluation results, it was suggested that perhaps course-evaluations would be more helpful in guiding next year’s first-year students. Although it is too late now for the French department to do this, there is a possibility that some of the reps will compile a questionnaire and submit it to the department.

The reps also discussed the final exam in French 150 and 151, and will submit a number of alternatives to the present exam schedule at the meeting.
Cabaret skillfully portrays Nazi decadence

by ELIZABETH COWAN

The audience comes out of the Glendale Theatre with blank, astonished faces after seeing "Cabaret" — they looked stunned, for a bit incredulous. Could any movie be as good as this one seemed to be? is what their faces say.

Moving pictures, after all, is sometimes produces entertaining films, even good ones, but can it really create brilliance? And if it can, can it possibly create a brilliant musical? The idea is absurd.

Therefore, the audience looks incredulous. In "Cabaret", they have seen a musical, about the fashionable Thirties, starring Judy Garland's daughter — and instead of production avoids awkwardness, and achieves a certain unresolved harmony which couldn't be more "existential." It also, of course, makes it impossible to pin down one title. Note by "The Point is that these absurdities...

In rounding off, one should say that the evening is in fact "the songs and play of Mrs. Blumer's little boy, Jimmy." Playwright, Jim Blumberg sings four of his songs before the play begins, and one in the play as the "genius of the juke box." All are good. Frankly, they're the folk songs the characters would like to make clean though that the evening's hardly anything as pretentious as the vacation-end result. Let us simply say that the evening's hardly any of naked feeling — see the play, it's infectious.

For some reason, the real moving force in the picture isn't even named on much of the publicity. Perhaps this speaks because Joel Grey, as the Emcee of the Kit Kat Club, is not part of the various romances which at first glance are the important part of the story. It is never seen outside the night-club and only occasionally off its tiny stage.

Some people might have been tempted to concentrate on the charm of the island and to create a pleasantly schmaltzy travelogue of the "papier natives" variety. But Guy Poulenc and his associates have investigated instead the dominant political fact of life — the Indian independence movement — in a musical.

It sometimes produces enterprising characters which at first glance are the important part of the story. It is never seen outside the night-club and only occasionally off its tiny stage.

by DOUGLAS McCARTHY

If this review manages to tantalize you enough to make you take the bait, or if you're ready to jump at anything, you'll find Tarragon's latest venture far superior to its title, "Sourd Sandwich.

In spite of the overtones of the subtitle, "a triple decker of adult spread on child bread", you don't have to be the man-enough-to-face-some-hard-core-void type. In fact, it'll take some convincing to make me call this play an (ab)surdist comedy — it's too good. Perhaps though I'm only surprised an absurdist word play can be written with something almost suggesting taste and delicacy.

Delicacy is, in fact, perhaps one of the best words to describe the production in general. Let us simply say that Steve Whistance-Smith's direction is beautifully smooth, and displayed to advantage by several of the actors, especially Lee Carlson as Mike in the domestic scene 'l'Albèr, and Guy Big. Mr. Big, for a very good reason which I won't give away, steals the show as the umpire; whence this review's title.

Also worthy of comment in this respect is Ron Conrad's set design. It, and the vaguely existential time-talk of the play complement each other well, creating a sense of timelessness.

The point is that these aspects of the production interwork to convey an impression or state of mind, rather than of naked feeling — see the play, it's infectious.

For some reason, the real moving force in the picture isn't even named on much of the publicity. Perhaps this speaks because Joel Grey, as the Emcee of the Kit Kat Club, is not part of the various romances which at first glance are the important part of the story. It is never seen outside the night-club and only occasionally off its tiny stage.

by ELIZABETH COWAN

In May of 1970, a Glendon class spent three weeks on the French-owned island of Martinique in the Caribbean. Three of their number spent most of their time recording film and tape a many-sided view of life there, and a further eighteen months reducing their material to manageable proportions.

The result is an excellent short film, which will be shown again at Glendon, sometime in the next few weeks, before its producers try to find a market for it on the French G.B.C. network.

But he begins and ends the movie, and ties together all the worlds of inter-war Berlin — the wealthy Old Guard, the sybaritic degenerates...
Soon a national sport

Traying is a Glendon tradition

by BROCK PHILLIPS
and BONNIE STANTON

After the article on turlutre-knobs appeared in PRO TEM (March 8) the sports editor was selected for the Glendon Frost Library by the boys from Chicago led by Albert Knab. The going, it seemed, was unhappy about the coverage he was receiving in the student organ. Albert Knab explained that the Glendon Traying Club (G.D.T.C.) was established early in the 1971-1972 winter season was a phenomenal success in its first year. Through hard work and the hard work of the club pioneers, himself, John Lawrence and Brad "Evil" Henry, the membership has shown a steady increase and already two hundred memberships have been sold; the club is becoming a threat to the Glendon Snowmobiling and Skiing Club.

And so, said Albert already on his knees and cleaning the dirt off the sports editor's sweater boot, "I don't see why you can't give us a little ink."

"The sports editor was to say the least, not impressed. He explained that he had more exciting sports such as the Glendon Chess Championships to cover, and began to walk off. But his path was blocked by Herman Kaiser who looked splendid in his Nazi Storm Trooper uniform. After being called a few nasty names and being threatened with eating Versa Liver for seven consecutive nights, the sports editor began to feel more sympathetic to the boys from Chicago's wishes and also a little sick to the stomach for Albert who was now moppin' all over his sweater boot.

But girding up his loins and remembering his former Versa-traying days, like the time after the 1970 Christmas Banquet, he failed to negotiate the chain at the end of Escott Reid walk, he took pen in hand, bent over his typewriter (Take note Och's fans) and gave the 'boys' a little ink.

Two days after the meeting with the 'boys', the required snowfall for Versa-traying arrived. Trayer Bill Cutt explained that the light snowfall this winter had hindered tryaning, skier Sue Boche agreed it hampered skating. Percy Saltzman complained that he didn't get to use his neat traying expression that is used when one is going to hit a tree. "Always perfecting traying skills, Herman Kaiser attempts to stand up on his tray during a trip down the Smasher. Or is he falling out?"

1) "Tray!" Albert Knab, as he flies down the Smasher on the eve of his record-breaking run. 2) Herman Kaiser fashions his traying outfit. 3) John Lawrence demonstrates the Traying expression that is used when one is going to hit a tree.

"It makes me nervous every time I read it," said Herm.

Protecting his eyes are a pair of greasier sun-glasses (They're great at night — Kaiser) and his hands were gauntletted in a stunning pair of everyday imitation leather-gloves. This was all topped with a red-capped-to-cleat Her-cules blue toque.

This outfit was chosen over the car mechanic overalls with side slits for joints and World War I aviator helmet (Made famous by Snoopy in his recent squabbles with the Red Baron) worn by Albert Knab. The next thing was to choose a tray. The sports editor chose his old grey coloured tray with gold traying stripe. Albert prefers the white tray. There are six trays; the old red and brown trays, the proven white and grey trays (they are most favoured by veteran trayers), the new scarlet tray (preferred by Herman Kaiser) and the brand new yellow tray currently being tested on the G.D.T.T. testing grounds a long the tray track in the Versa- kitchen.

The first run on the comeback trail was the Sissy Schauss, a friendly curving tray that when one is twenty one should be a no-brainer. Albert also hoped to bring his 1972-1973 season. Albert also hoped to bring his 1972-1973 season.

First he had to choose his traying uniform. His choice was the white tray sported by Herman Kaiser. Shit-kickers protected his feet and his pants were a vibrant fashioned blue jeans from the Tillsonburg general store with a checkered pattern. His dependable Sim­ mons Sears cut-offs for rear protection.

Moving upward one finds an army surplus fatigues covered by a Great Lake's seaman's sweater, covered by a leather jacket with the inscription "Your, mother wears army boots" on the last time managed to miss the wall and the drop and negotiate the chair for a perfect run. Rick Pattens revealed that many trays crashed into the wall and quite a few trayers have been reported missing after going over the drop. Next was the Bobbled Run, a sharply curving run down the slopes by the garden house. It is designed to test the turning ability of tray and trayer. After hitting the wall on four consecutive runs, the sports editor felt he could wheel his tray with the best of them and so went on to the Smasher.

The Smasher is one of the most spectacular runs. Its length travels over two low walls to end in an exhilarating leap over a four foot wall. After crossing himself the sports editor started down the Smasher. Speed was picked up immediately, the low walls sped by and then the four foot wall was breached. Suddenly airborne, the sports editor realized he forgot to mention that Bob Gibson won his first squash game in four years.}

For the final word, the sports editor went to the Glendon chairman who said that "traying was better than getting stoned." Albert Knab was last seen shaking his head vigorously.