UNION BUSTING

by Dave Moulton

Union busting and strikebreaking are not activities pursued solely by the mining industry, but have also been used by other corporations in order to squeeze their workers like any other business. Ontario Hydro used scar labour to maintain services when CUPE Local 1000 were on strike in 1972. A major dispute in that strike was the demand by Hydro that the new contract allow for scavenging if the union were to go on strike, and that the scale in the 1972 strike not be disciplined by the union. There is another battle this is being waged by York University against its main- tenance workers—members of CUPE Local 1135.

Because York University began to have serious money problems mid-way through 1972, one of the major areas of cutting back was in plant services. People who left the bar- gaining unit (retired, quit, etc.) were not replaced which increased the workload for those remaining and a lowering of the cleaning standards. In August it was announced that the CUPE night cleaning staff would be reduced by half and the economy contract labour. Although some members were reported to have been understaffed and overworked. Add to this that they had to deal with the institution on university time.

Mr. Andy Bevan, the man in charge of Glendon plant services, has had ground up diggers, bulldozers and a tractor at his cottage north of Shelburne. The men were taken up and returned by the grounds supervisor and university equipment used. Mr. Horner, another boss, has had the outside contract labour trimmed on university time and split wood been cut and delivered to such people as V. L. Berg, senior administrator. The college jeep and its driver have done the snowplowing at Mr. Bevan's apartment building.

It is difficult to blame the CUPE workers for work not done if they are busy elsewhere. However it is not clear why the scar cleaners have not been cleaned (third floor York Hall, Principal's office and library) and waving the floors have been neglected.

The real reason behind the university's actions is an attempt to replace union staff that have fought vigorously for decent wages and working conditions with cheap non-union labour. The recognition clause clearly states that "...the University recognizes the Union as the sole and exclusive bargaining agent of all its employees engaged in maintenance, service and plant operations". Of course the administration claims the union scar cleaners are not theirs or the employees of the new company. The university also justi- fies its actions by quoting Article 23.14 of the contract. "...present employee shall lose his job as a direct result of the changes in the method of operation."

This is particularly so prior to contracting out services normally performed by members of the bargaining unit the university shall discuss such matters with Unison." It is not clear why the union accepted such a clause, but it is clear that the university's bosses interpretation of "discussion" is to tell the union what it is doing—like it or lump it.

IN A LATE DEVELOPMENT THE UNIVERSITY HAS ANNOUNCED THAT IT IS NOT GOING TO CONTRACT OUT DAY CLEANING. THE REASON GIV- EN IS THE PRESSURE FROM THE STUDENTS, FACULTY AND PARTICULARLY FROM D. A. TUCKER.

WHAT IS THE DEAN'S JOB?

by Derek Watt

Last Friday afternoon in the Senate Boardroom COSE began interviewing applicants for the position of Dean of Students and Master of Residence. Bill Irvine and Ian Gentles, the pre- sent Dean, were the two candidates questioned by COSE and members of the administration.

Bill Irvine believes that the dean should be a committed scholar: this alone should appear to be the main thrust of his desire to be appointed Dean. He finds the promotion of bilingualism and cultural affairs is to make Glendon an "academic and administrative" centre. In my opinion, this is not require- d for the role of the dean and one of my criteria will be whether he is an administrative and counselling job.

Although Bill knows little of the job of a dean, he appears to be aware of where he would spend next year as dean-designate. He plans to spend most of his time involved and more about residence at Glendon.

Meanwhile Ian Gentles presented an outline of his achievements over the past two years. He felt his contributions to Glendon have been his teaching and administration, and his strong emphasis on bilingualism at Glendon and his steady growth of cultural activities for the Glendon community. This year he has worked on a new project, alumni affairs and has been involved in a book on Glendon.

If he were appointed Dean of Students and Master of Residence for another year, he has indicated that he would establish a "job doer" and to help Glendon graduate secure employment.

Nevertheless, there has been one area of concern that the day student's involvement in the Glendon community that he has not been able to address. One solution proposed by a few people is to create a special part-time administrative office to work with the students and special residence. This is a problem which is clearly not for the new dean to begin.

But whether the other candidates come forward or not, Bill Irvine and Ian Gentles it is for COSE and the Principal to decide on your behalf. If you have spare time, please visit the Senate Boardroom to either question or listen to the last two candidates, Reina Gane- gara, and Rob Saliou. You too have the right to help decide who is the Dean of Students and Master of Residence next year, so please attend.

by Andrew Nikiforuk

A committee for a Marxist Insti- tute is currently launching a series of talks and events titled "View from the Left". This is the first major project of its kind in the country to establish a center to help popularize Marxism. When the center has been established it will be used as a resource library and as an area for seminars and discussions on various topics of importance ranging from women's liberation to the third world revolution. The institute will enable the left to challenge the "powerful pervasive ideological bourgeois society." The institute will be an alternative to the "madness of a middle class society and its despotic institutions.

The current lecture series has presented various topics represented by university professors. The lecture series is held on Wednesdays at 8 p.m. in the OISE building on 250 Spadina Avenue (1.7 blocks south of St. George subway station). Lectures yet to be given include: March 6, Colin Campbell on "Fellini's new movies on China, March 13, John Mackay on "Organized Crime in the 1970s," March 20, William Leiser on "Ideology and Science". The institute's first political event is an open meeting on April 3, Gabriel (tentative) "The Structural crisis of American foreign policy.

All people are welcome to attend these lectures.

"low-level" counsellors with aca- demic experience (a B.A.), a nature outlook; but seniors students (3rd and 4th year) are not capable of representing an authority figure to their peers and during exams they would be unable to provide counseling for student problems. The best forum for him to clearly present his opinion of the role of the dean, the criteria for his selec- tion, and what residence life should be like, is this Tuesday at 10 p.m. in the JCR. In fact, it is hoped that the candidates will make an effort to attend this meeting and make valuable contributions to the dis- cussion.

Meanwhile Ian Gentles presented an outline of his achievements over the past two years. He felt his contributions to Glendon have been his teaching and adminis- tration, and his strong emphasis on bilingualism at Glendon and his steady growth of cultural activities for the Glendon community. This year he has worked on a new project, alumni affairs and has been involved in a book on Glendon.

"If he were appointed Dean of Students and Master of Residence for another year, he has indicated that he would establish a "job doer" and to help Glendon graduate secure employment.

Nevertheless, there has been one area of concern that the day student's involvement in the Glendon community that he has not been able to address. One solution proposed by a few people is to create a special part-time administrative office to work with the students and special residence. This is a problem which is clearly not for the new dean to begin.

But whether the other candidates come forward or not, Bill Irvine and Ian Gentles it is for COSE and the Principal to decide on your behalf. If you have spare time, please visit the Senate Boardroom to either question or listen to the last two candidates, Reina Gane- gara, and Rob Saliou. You too have the right to help decide who is the Dean of Students and Master of Residence next year, so please attend.
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Doug Watson
John Frankie
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STUDENT REPRESENTATIVE ON SENATE

No matter how active you are

The more active you are, the more you participate in, the more you need to know about Tampax tampons.

Because they're worn internally, they don't hamper movement and don't cause irritating discomfort. When inserted properly in place (it's easy!), you'll never feel them. Removal is also easy: the withdrawal cord is chain stitched to the entire length of the tampon so it can't pull off.

And you should know that Tampax tampons are economical. They come in packages of 10's and 40's, not 8's and 30's like some others. You get more for your money. More of the protection that keeps you comfortable no matter how active a life you lead.

The internal protection more women trust

MADE ONLY BY
CANADIAN TAMPAX CORPORATION LTD.
BARRE, ONTARIO

The ideal protection more women trust

Brewed from pure spring water.

And that's the truth!
by Allan Grover

At a special general meeting of the Ontario Federation of Students/Fédération des Étudiants de l’Ontario held this January, students representatives from all Ontario universities and some community colleges decided to press the strike as a result of the following action (the statement of the case is to be found in full in page 12): for the first time, students will be asked to prove their status in a special referendum during the early days of March.

As a result of the fee, the Ontario government and colleges presently affiliated with OPSEU, the organization will have an annual working budget of approximately $450,000. The student colleges involved in the January meeting were by that time so ubiquitous that they could justify to their students. The referendum has already been approved by students at Ryerson, Western and St. Pat’s.

OPSEU was founded two years ago when student governments across the province found they lacked the resources to provide the kind of programs and services the report recommends among other things the province could exercise some degree of control over university curricula through co-ordinating committees, and that the budget of $450,000 was not large enough to provide for the program.

The report recommended that governments not only provide the program but would still be able to present to the government and its member associations reports on post-secondary education financing, tenure, student housing and the like. In addition, several issues of The Ontario Student, a newspaper intended to acquaint students with the issues facing their student governments, have been published along with a course union and evaluation organizing handbook, and problems related to student union and student housing have been investigated.

Probably the greatest indication of OPSEU’s growing influence with the provincial government has been its ability in the last month to win assurance from the government that tuition fees will not be raised next year. Such an assurance wold almost certainly have occurred had OPSEU not had been able to speak out strongly on this issue across the province on this matter.

With an increased budget OPSEU hopes to expand its staff to include more in the form of research co-ordinator to coordinate research being done on individual campuses, a liaison officer to inform students about co-operative activities being made within the government, and four fieldworkers to assist students on member campuses in such areas as union, research, course unions and course evaluation.

The rights and needs of Ontario students can best be articulated through a provincial council and a council of such an OPSEU. Experience in the past has shown that students cannot afford to let their interests be ignored by such structures as the Ontario Committee of University Faculty Associations and the Council of Ontario Undergraduate Associations. The province has its own needs, and we are by far the most peripheral concerned with the needs of students.

OPSEU, as it is, and as directed by student government across the province to present the view of Ontario student interests to the government in this non-electric vehicle in this regard will largely be determined as the result of the fee referendum becomes known.

VOTE YES

by Richard Wagman

By this time most students at Glendon will have heard about the struggle of migrant farmworkers in the U.S. The Glendon UFW Support Committee has made every effort to escalate the grape and lettuce boycott on campus, while escalation the consciousness of students to this issue.

For many years, Mexican-Americans have been exploited as cheap labour by the larger growers in the fields of California, Arizona and New Mexico. In recent years these people, under the leadership of Cesar Chavez, have organized themselves into a union to fight the purposes of collective bargaining. For the first time in labour history, migrant farmworkers were successful in signing union contracts with their employers. This victory improved wages and working conditions considerably—a major step in the fight to eliminate mass rural poverty. But last April their contracts expired, and the growers refused to renegotiate. Instead, they have used illegal immigrant scabs, the police, the courts and even the bellhanger’s union to break the strike. Mass jailing, beatings, and several deaths took place.

The farmworkers decided they did not want anyone else to die, so they left the vineyards and dispersed to the cities to launch a mass boycott of U.S. table grapes and head lettuce. Here in Toronto, the main thrust of the boycott has been directed against Dominion stores—the largest Canadian buyer of this produce.

Due to the diligence of many sympathetic Torontonians, Dominion appears to be cracking under the pressure as it is now refusing grapes and lettuce from the shelves.

But the farmworkers are having a hard time surviving here in Toronto. Their only income consists of a $5 weekly allowance provided by the labour movement. They live in church seminars or with individual families who offer to house them and to provide food and clothing from personal donations alone.

Therefore, the Farmworkers’ Dance will happen this Saturday night (March 9) at 8:00 p.m. in the old Dining Hall. All proceeds will go to help finance the Toronto boycott. Appearing in person is the celebrated rock-jazz ensemble Stillwater. Admission is 75 cents, with plenty of beer to boot.

Most of the 32 farmworkers here in Toronto will be present at the dance to talk with the students— an excellent opportunity to hear of their experience first hand. This dance promises to be one of the best social events at Glendon this year. For a great time on Saturday night, and for the sake of our brothers and sisters from California, we urge every student to attend this exciting event.
"HUELGA"

Since April of last year the United Farmworkers have been on strike against the growers of grapes and head lettuce in the 1965-1967 non-union, the UFW is made up of migrant farmworkers of Mexican, Mexican-American, and Phillipino origin. Chávez, the charismatic leader of the UFW, has said that the farmworkers union in a bid to alleviate the widespread poverty. Last summer the farmworkers strike was broken in a hostile atmosphere, and the workers were forced to the cities to organize a boycott and to only bargaining tactics to open the gates. Since September 23 farmworkers and their families have been without income, and food stamps. The life of a migrant worker is indeed a difficult one, as the nature of his work demands a great deal of mobility, often staying in several different locations and working for several different employers in the course of a year. The degree to which cheap farm labor has been taken for granted by the growers has resulted in the exploitation of Mexican-American workers as an essentially expendable force, subjected to mass poverty, substandard living conditions and child labor, with no opportunity to get an education. The average lifespan of the individual farmworker is 40 years.

Before the UFW won its first contract with the banana growers, the average wage for a farmworker was 95 cents per hour. They were granted a 15-cent raise in the first year, seven days a week. Pesticides and fumigating make outdoor work while the people are there working—this is largely responsible for their 49-year life span. Because there has been so low, the children are forced to work from thestate, in order to supplement the family income. There are presently 3 million children under 16 years old of them are children from 8 to 16 years old. It often gets as hot as 110°F, by mid-morning in California, but the growers are not required to provide drinking water. If they wanted a water, they had to carry a canteen of water from the contractor! But the water was often hot, and served in an uncool manner. In a study every one–drank a breeding ground for contracting typhoid fever, causing typhoid fever, no medical benefits were available to workers. This is of course, if you wanted an ice cube with your water, it would cost more.

The Internal Revenue Service of California has no legal protection, as they are on the struggle for fair protection, but in that state. The farmworkers have made numerous appeals to the state legislature for legal protection, but to no avail. The only protection they have is in their union. The role that the contractors play in this industry is that of a middle man, who, on one hand, charges his impoverished clients. The contractor is hired by the growers to supply labor for the harvest. It is he who arranges for the distribution of the workers and the contractors, and he who collects the fees obtained throughout the harvest. It is also he who charges each farmwarker a dollar a day just for the privilege of working. Thence in the U.S., A A few years ago, a farmwarker could be laid off at any time, by the contractors. There is no condition that people are forced to work. The wages are often less than the minimum wage, and they are not paid promptly. The growers charge each farmworker a dollar a day just for the privilege of working. Thence in the U.S., A A few years ago, a farmwarker could be laid off at any time, by the contractors. There is no condition that people are forced to work. The wages are often less than the minimum wage, and they are not paid promptly.

In 1962 Chávez, a farmworker in Arizona of Mexican origin, decided to do something about the plight which his people were suffering. He began a single-handed campaign to organize the farmworkers by talking to the workers,

the membership of the farmworkers union had grown considerably, and they sought to bargain collectively with the growers to arrive at a satisfactory settlement. Immediately the growers reacted in a hostile manner and re-elected to hold elections, denying that the union actually represented the farmworkers as their bargaining agent. After about a year of pressure, though, elections were finally held and the overwhelming majority voted for Chávez' United Farmworkers union. This was the first time in history that migrant farmworkers were successful in organizing themselves for the purposes of collective bargaining.

But the growers still refused to recognize the union. The famous Alianza del Valle strike, when a walkout of Philippino workers was joined by the Mexican-American grape pickers. The struggle galvanized with cries of "Huelga!"—Spanish for "on strike." This continued for two years until the growers, with help from the state, introduced a "contract" by bringing in illegal labor. As these new recruits were heard in by the balloons through suppressed the union. Every contract contains language that even know that a strike was taking place. In 1967 they decided to go out to of the 3-year contracts.

In April 1973 the contracts expired. The growers refused to bargain with the UFW once more. Instead, they resorted to cheap labor for their unionized plant, and used the strike-breaking activities. They obstinately attacked and intimidated the strikers, even non-violent strikers—men, women and children. The powerful Western Conference of Teamsters, the large trucking union in the U.S. secretly signed over 200 "exemption" to the new company. The union was not allowed to go to the growers, claiming to be the official bargaining agent of the UFW. Previously, a farmworker would earn

32 cents for each box of lettuce picked, with 15 heads of lettuce per box. The Teamster contracts awarded them $1.25 cents per box over 5 years! No farmworker has seen these contracts, which were signed behind closed doors by growers and Teamster officials.

More important, however, is the ruthless brutality with which the Teamsters and the Teamsters union and the growers, to break the workers' spirit. A study of the industry has revealed that the Teamster movement has refused to handle the produce. Even local 414 of the West Coast Teamster Farm- store Store Union (Dominion employ- ees) have joined the farmworkers.

Glendon College itself has not been left out. In January the Glendon UFW Support Committee was formed to support the boycott by buying buttons, signs, and any other materials that the farmworkers can use.

Here in Canada, the farmworkers have found support among the clergy, the teachers and the trade unions. Among those who endorse the boycott from the clergy are: Toronto Archibishop Philip Pocock (Catholic), the United Church Bishop (Methodist), the Canadian Council of Churches (Presbyterian), and the Toronto Board of Rabbits (Jewish). Labour support includes: the Can- ada Worker Co-operative Federation of Labour, the Steelworkers, the Meat Packers and individuals supporting the farm- workers. Among the more prominent are: David Crombie, the NDP, the Toronto Board of Education, the Catholic School Teachers Federation (district 13) and the Toronto Star. The bulk of the coverage that the farmworkers have received, however, comes from the hundreds of citizens who have decided to assist the UFW in their activities.

Dominion, Canada's largest chain store, is also our largest buyer of U.S. grapes and head lettuce. At a meeting called for by the farmworkers in Mayor Crombie's office, it was revealed that the only way to get a boycott was to buy the non-UFW produce. Over 2,000 dollars have been spent on the petition. Just this week Dominion appears to be cracking under the pressure of their competitors—Miracle Mart, IGA and Food City—have agreed to take part in the boycott as soon as Dominion does.

The farmworkers, with UFW support from concerned citizens in Toronto, started to picket Dominion stores and successfully boycotted the produce. They have also circulated a petition in the stores urging them to buy the grapes and lettuce off the shelves. Toronto was, in effect, turned over to the farmworkers.

On Monday 14 January the students of Glendon College unanimously passed a motion requesting that Beaver Foods remove the produce from the cafeteria. Similar motions were passed by Faculty Council on Tuesday and Thursday. By the Students' Union on Tuesday 5 May, 1968 the film "Decision in Delano," made at the 1965 grape strike, was shown to students in the Delano Auditorium. In March the Farmers' Dance will be held in the Old Dining Hall. The students are hoping to talk to the students at this benefit dance.

The issue at stake in this struggle goes beyond the boycott of Dominion. An entire people are seeking freedom from want and misery, improving their standard of living and community. The large growers, the politicians, the Teamsters and the teachers are all complicit in this act of social injustice. The only hope they have for a decent future is with the millions of ordinary people who will boycott their products. It is to this anonymous group in society upon which the only
"LET ME MAKE ONE THING CRYSTAL CLEAR..."

A. J. (Tony) Bernat

I am running for the office of President because I feel I have something of value to offer to the Glendon College Student Union and its executive. I am infuriated at the way the honourable president of the present executive is managing affairs. I should clarify this criticism by stating that I believe, in the best of my knowledge, that she is a capable administrator; however, her philosophical outlook indicates cerebral bankruptcy of sorts. My worthy opponent seems to promise more important than my opponent's claim (sic) a sub-division of the present executive's sales and service department could provide some substantial innovation for an executive to act as an effective team.

I believe that an atmosphere of fear and intimidation is achieved here than on a larger campus. However, a "get off your ass" type of acquaintance turns off most of the students. The idea that curiosity, I believe, has to be presented in a more palatable package. I find this type of relationship even seems to cast a pall over the executive.

In the famous tradition of Paul Johnstone, the Student Union seems determined to eliminate racism. Build-up Savings like the Ryerson Student Union goes the whole cry! But why this obsession with following in the footsteps of the Ryerson Student Union? This strikes me as a direct instruction as following the footsteps of the UVPF. Not to mention the thank you if I’m wrong. I’m not his executive part of the presidency of that office don’t appear to be stroking the students when there is a problem.

I have been reticent to publicly discuss this basic problem because I am not sure how well it will be understood. It is really quite simple. The Student Union has become pure delegate power. I feel self-confident enough to believe that I can engender some enthusiasm within a circle of council, and hopefully start a pyramid.

I believe that, besides, or probably more important than my opponent's claim (sic), a sub-division of the present executive's sales and service department could provide some substantial innovation for an executive to act as an effective team.

I would like to think I can think, but is this not a worthwhile experiment? There seems to be my criticism to whether I can be functional without any council experience. I believe I can engage this association by offering Pat Smith a paid position (an unofficial advisor or anything that would be satisfactory to him and the rest of council). I get along very well with Pat and considering that we both are in love with the island of Jamaica, I fail to see how we wouldn't harmonize. With myself as President, and assuming Pat is interested, the executive would need to look into the energetic services of the two people at all concerned with this election.

As the question of student participation in any facet of campus life, I feel I can empathize with the apathy among the students. I don't think there is any problem with this self for many years. Why? Well, I really don't think space would permit me to go into this behind the psychology of apathy.

J. Don't know enough about the OKE to comment.

2. Yes.

I. I believe strongly that I can head the traditions established by the former generation and achieve the goals in bureaucratic negotiations.

2. I hope to be able to release from the tangles at York Masl our beloved little campus, autonomy in my free university in a free society.

3. Most students on this campus are extremely myopic when important national issues are discussed in the only way in which they almost organize. The Student Union is a practicality to discussion if Glendon ever hopes to become more than a small liberal arts college and as it stands, students who can't vote, if elected, propose to run roughshod over them.

5. I believe that the number of Quebec's nominations in this election is sufficient evidence of the resurrection of bilingualism.

No. Absolutely not. Glendon students, Brock students, and Trent students all had their chances to voice their opinions on that show and the fact that they didn't substantiates the fact that their condemnation of the program is groundless.

7. The student union is a student organization. If not, it looks like the students may be serried best by bringing such a strike and can provide grounds for that decision. In all means they should go ahead.

8. A broad spectrum of activities and events is bound by its mandates to provide a broad spectrum of activities and events.
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General Questions

2. I hope to raise the level of interest and involvement on this campus not just in a political way, but so that everyone can become active in these activities that interest him.

2. I also hope to initiate a movement for independence for Glendon College from York University. It is a difficult question. Our relationship with York must be thoroughly investigated and all possible alternatives looked at carefully.

3. I believe these problems arise out of the fact that students have not felt any interest in the concern of the college, and that we are in a process of taking over the college.

5. I think the de-emphasis of bilingualism at Glendon is another symptom of the inactivity and apathy among the students. We should try to broaden the program and see the reasons for the students to become interested in the programs.

6. I have been involved in my campus in PRO TEM the reasons for the OPS's condemnation of Glendon. I may look at this problem. If it is an apathy or a desire to change things, this is certainly a common problem.

7. In answering the other questions I have outlined some of the things the students want to do, and one thing I want to get done next year. I am running for president because I feel I am capable of resolving these problems.

Pat Smith

Ibling these goals and providing good leadership for the Student's Union.
FLEUR DE LEAFS STACKED UP

by Brock Phillips

They came into the series as underdogs, but they fought hard and never gave up for the full 45 minutes and came away with a 1-1 tie. The next game, with confidence and red pants in hand, they again fought hard, putting everything into it, but in the end they lost, because the other team was better.

Last Thursday the women's intercollegiate hockey team Fleur de Leafe went into the second round of the two game total goals final against Bethlehem on even terms. The previous Tuesday the Fleur de Leafe had gamely fought back from a one-goal deficit to even the score at 1-1 midway in the second period. The goal was scored by top goalgetter Marion Treen after she failed on two previous opportunities.

Two day's was a contest in which the shooters came up flat against her goaltending. Sue Arnoff, Marion Treen and Jane McCarthy could not put the puck behind Bethlehem goaltender

"They out-guessed us every time," explained Sue Arnoff. "She just stood there..." Sue Powlis also had trouble on only one occasion, having a scorching shot taken down. We made her job a hell of a lot easier, though, by shooting right at her. But of course, you can do that in a small town when you can't dance and your roller skates have a flat tire and Canadian Tire stores are closed on Sunday's because cynn flaxes go soggy when you pour milk on them.

"This is the thing, of course," replied Harry Nesbitt, who was finally on hand to miss another spectacular game.

At the other end Vazine trophy winner Marnie Stranks came up with her hottest game of the season as she recorded a goal and an assist.

"I'm tired," she told Howard Consell in an exclusive locker room interview. "They never told me I'd have to face three shots during the game..." I'm going to get Alan Eagleson to renegotiate my contract..." I'm going to have one more shot during the practice sessions, not during games. What a bunch of duds.

As Thursday's game began it was clearly evident that the odins were stacked against the Fleur de Leafe, chosen by the intercollegiate hockey writers' association as the Cinderella team of the year. (Also known affectionately around the league as the Great Pumpkin Award-Lousie "Loopy Eggroll" Begins.)

"Their team was stacked," explained Leaf spokesman and inspirational leader Sue Powlis. (I'm also pretty wiry...). Sue Powlis "I'm not saying our team was face to face with the other two occasions..." They took the loss of three, as many players.

From the opening face-off the puck went into the Leaf zone, where it was to remain for most of the game, as the Leaf were narrowly defeated 6-1.

"I wouldn't say we were never in the game," replied Nancy Scott, to hockey analyst Jaffy Baganetich's question. "We were in for 70 minute practice sessions and ahead in team pictures. The best way to describe the game would be to head Fleur de Leafe cheerleader 'Bazjail Wallis' summation of the game. Through tearful eyes he told PRO-TEM's duck on the scene Sydney T. Duck, "If that was the Bethune hockey team, we had no business sharing the same ice surface, as they did. It could beat some varsity teams. In his summation Sydney T. reports that Barry Wallis came up with an excellent repertoire of old favourites to the joy of the many fans who packed the Arctic Arena pressbox to the rafters.

Again Marnie Stranks came up with another great game, keeping the score respectable with outstanding saves as she faced between 22 and 23 shots which included seven breakaways.

"What a rate, was all Stranks said when asked to describe the Bethune forward who scored on her five times.

After the game there was a special presentation of the Greg Haslam Star the Game Award Award to student Mallard J. Duck awarded the flying duck for the second time in three games described to Sue Arnoff, Marion Treen, Jane McCarthy, Sue Powlis, Linda Montgomery, Georgina Woods, Mallard J. Duck, Lisette D'Antonio, Diana Smith, Diana Sepjaek, Marilyn Burnett, Louise "Loopy Eggroll" Bagnetich, Puckly Pouncey, Nancy Scott, Marney Gattiner, Sue Mahoney, Marnie Stranks and Sue Powlis (goalie hockey is great) who were all great in a losing performance. The award committee was impressed with the desire and interest they showed throughout the season as were the coaches who had the greatest time of their lives. Their thank you and add, "Wait till we want you. We'll own you them."